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**Version History:**

This Best Practice was created by the LNPA WG (now known as the NPIF – Number Portability Industry Forum) and originally accepted on 09/08/2004 (Version 1). It was reviewed at the August 11th, 2020 NPIF where consensus was reached to remove the third option - CO Code Reallocation Process (Version 2). It was reviewed again at the April 5, 2022, NPIF where consensus was reached that the link to the CO Reallocation process should be removed from this Best Practice and the SP Checklist was updated (Version 3).

**Background:**

**Documentation Referenced:**

See the following document for current checklist and process:



**Decisions/Recommendations**

A SPID migration should be scheduled *on or as soon after* the published iconectiv® TruOps LERG™ Routing Guide effective date as possible.  An exception to this practice is that a SPID migration is allowed to occur *before* the iconectiv® TruOps LERG™ Routing Guide effective date provided the effective date is no later than the following Wednesday of the SPID migration date.

Additionally, Service Providers are urged to follow the processes listed below for required SPID changes:

**INDUSTRY SPID CORRECTION SELECTION PROCESS:**

**If Ported or Pooled Numbers DO NOT Exist In The Code(s) Affected By The Move:**

If no ported or pooled numbers are in the code, the new code holder should contact the current code owner as shown in the NPAC to have the code (and any associated LRNs) deleted in the NPAC.  The new code holder will then add the code in the NPAC under their SPID.

**If Ported or Pooled Numbers DO Exist In The Code(s) Affected By The Move:**

1. **Coordinated Industry Effort:**The new code holder should identify the number of ported and/or pooled TNs within the NXX(s) in question and the number of involved Service Providers to determine if this option is feasible.Based on the number of involved Service Providers, the new code holder should coordinate a conference call to determine if the delete/recreate process is acceptable among all affected Service Providers.  If this process is deemed acceptable, the affected Service Providers shall coordinate the deletion and recreation of all ported and/or pooled TN records in the code(s).  Note that the delete/recreate process is service affecting for those ported and/or pooled subscribers.  Type of customer should also be considered when determining if this option is feasible.  It is recommended that this process be considered when there are five (5) or fewer Service Providers involved and less than one hundred and fifty (150) working TNs and no pooled blocks.
2. **NANC 323 SPID Migration:**If Option 1 above cannot be used to change NPA-NXX code ownership in the NPAC, the industry preferred process is to perform a NANC 323 SPID migration.

When ported numbers exist, Service Providers are to determine which of the above 2 options best fit their needs based on time constraints, number of carriers involved, number of SVs involved, type of customer(s), etc.

Note: A third option (CO Code Reallocation Process) was removed as part of an Industry issue (Issue 875:  Remove references to the LNP CO Code Reallocation Process from the COCAG, COCAG Appendix C, TBCOCAG) raised at ATIS INC (Industry Numbering Committee)