

**LNPA WORKING GROUP**  
**July 9-10, 2013 Meeting**  
**Final Minutes**

<b>Seattle, WA</b>	<b>Host: T-Mobile</b>
--------------------	-----------------------

**TUESDAY July 9, 2013**  
**Attendance**

Name	Company	Name	Company
Lonnie Keck	AT&T	Jim Rooks	Neustar
Ron Steen	AT&T	John Nakamura	Neustar
Teresa Patton	AT&T	Lavinia Rotaru	Neustar
Tracey Guidotti	AT&T	Paul LaGattuta	Neustar
Renee Dillon	AT&T	Bill Reidway	Neustar
Mark Lancaster	AT&T (phone)	Marcel Champagne	Neustar (phone)
Frank App III	Bright House (phone)	Stephen Addicks	Neustar (phone)
Matt Nolan	Bright House (phone)	Ed Barker	Neustar (phone)
Barbara Hjelmaa	Bright House (phone)	Mubeen Saifullah	Neustar Clearinghouse
Cristy Permenter	Bright House (phone)	Shannon Sevigny	Neustar Pooling (phone)
Jan Doell	CenturyLink	Jeff Sonnier	Sprint
Brenda Bloemke	Comcast	Suzanne Addington	Sprint
Linda Birchem	Comcast (phone)	Ann Fenaroli	Sprint (phone)
Dena Hunter	Cricket (phone)	Darren Post	Synchronoss
Linda Peterman	Earthlink Business	Jeanne Kulesa	Synchronoss
George Tsacnaris	iconectiv	Rosalee Pinnock	Syniverse
Joel Zamlong	iconectiv	Ramesh Chellamani	Tekelec
John Malyar	iconectiv	Luke Sessions	T-Mobile
Pat White	iconectiv	Paula Campagnoli	T-Mobile
Steven Koch	Iconectiv	Jesse Ochoa	T-Mobile
Kim Isaacs	Integra	Greg Ortman	T-Mobile
Bridget Alexander	JSI (phone)	Jason Lee	Verizon (phone)
Karen Hoffman	JSI (phone)	Deb Tucker	Verizon Wireless
Dave Garner	Neustar	Scott Terry	Windstream
Fariba Jafari	Neustar	Dawn Lawrence	XO

**NOTE: ALL ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE “July 9-10, 2013 WG ACTION ITEMS” FILE AND ATTACHED HERE.**



July 9-10, 2013  
LNPA WG ACTION ITI

## **LNPA WORKING GROUP MEETING MINUTES:**

### **2013 LNPA WG Meeting/Call Schedule:**

Following is the current schedule for the 2013 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

<b>MONTH (2013)</b>	<b>NANC MEETING DATES</b>	<b>LNPA WG MEETING/CALL DATES</b>	<b>HOST COMPANY</b>	<b>MEETING LOCATION</b>
January		8 <sup>th</sup> -9 <sup>th</sup>	Ericsson/ Telcordia	Scottsdale, Arizona
February		No meeting or call. Scheduled time on 2/5/13 for conference call to be used by APT.		
March		5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup>	DSET	Atlanta, Georgia
April		No meeting or Conference Call.  04/09/2013 call if necessary		
May		7 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup>	Neustar	Miami Beach, FL
June		No meeting.  06/17/2013 Conference Call		
July		9 <sup>th</sup> -10 <sup>th</sup>	T-Mobile	Seattle, Washington
August		No meeting.  08/06/2013 call if necessary		
September		10 <sup>th</sup> -11 <sup>th</sup>	Comcast	Denver, Colorado
October		No meeting.  10/08/2013 call if necessary		
November		5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup>	AT&T	San Antonio, Texas
December		No meeting.  12/03/2013 call if necessary		

## **May 7-8, 2013 Draft LNPA WG Meeting Minutes Review:**

The May 7-8, 2013, meeting minutes were reviewed. After a few minor changes, they were approved as FINAL.

## **Updates from Other Industry Groups**

### **OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering (WSO) Subcommittee Update (Deb Tucker, Verizon Wireless):**

The Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee met May 23, 2013. No new issues were introduced.

The Subcommittee continues to follow the Local Service Ordering Subcommittee efforts related to Issue 3450, Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE “C” Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders, to determine if WICIS changes will be required as a result of their efforts.

Regarding the WICIS release requirements necessary to implement the elimination of the 90 day due date restriction on wireless to wireline ports as a result of **Issue 3442**, all participants have approved and it was agreed to move forward with allowing vendors to implement the 90 day due date change without a formal WICIS release at this time.

**Issue 3429** – WICIS Review for Alignment and Business Practices. This is a blanket issue opened to review the WICIS document for any needed updates and it remains open.

The next OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for August 26, 2013.

### **OBF Local Service Ordering Subcommittee – Linda Peterman:**

#### OBF ORDERING SOLUTIONS COMMITTEE LOCAL SERVICE ORDERING SUBCOMMITTEE

Since the May, 2013 LNPA WG meeting, one Local Service Ordering Subcommittee (LSO) face-to-face meeting was held. Issues 3381 and 3450 were the primary topics discussed. A virtual meeting was held June 6, 2013, to discuss Issue 3450.

#### **3381/ LSOG: Standardization of directory listings in the 102 Practice**

Participants referred Issue 3381 to the Ordering Solutions Committee for Initial Closure with the following Resolution Statement:

*Developed approximately 100 new listing examples for the 102 practice (Directory Listing) to accurately reflect various ordering scenarios. Modified existing fields on the 102 practice to reflect the new examples. Developed new listing term definitions and moved existing listing definitions from the 102 practice to the 070 practice (Local Service Ordering Overview).*

*Deleted directory information in sections 12 and 13 of the 070 practice and designated them as reserved for future use. Modified ACT (Activity) field on the 071 practice (Local Service Request). Removed multiple fields from the 111 practice (Directory Listing Inquiry).*

Participants will review the directory examples for their company specific usage.

### **3450/ LSOG: Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE “C” Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders**

Participants reviewed the Issue Statement and Suggested Solution (3450.doc).

It was noted that Issue 3450 only deals with non-simple/non-complex ports. Complex ports are out of scope for this Issue.

It was noted that thoroughfare information is not validated as part of this Issue. Any information outside of the five agreed upon validation fields (AN (Account Number), PORTED NBR (Ported Telephone Number), ZIP (ZIP/Postal Code), PID (Personal Identifier) and SANO (Service Address Number)) will be checked within back end systems, but will not be used for validation.

Participants discussed modifying several fields for the Standard Data Set for Number Portability only. Next steps were identified on individual fields for potential modification of the LSOG rules for simple and non-simple ports.

There was discussion on the SANO field. It was noted that SANO will be validated for non-simple/non-complex ports, but not validated for simple ports. It was further noted that in the rare case that the SANO field is blank, it will bypass validation.

There was discussion on retaining versus disconnecting listings. It was noted that deleting a listing would be considered a non-simple/non-complex port. Retaining a listing would be considered a complex port.

There was discussion on full versus partial ports. A concern was noted with trying to fit a multi-line process into a simple port. There is no way to identify that one number coming in on a port order is part of a multi-line account. Also, companies that do not currently require full disposition would now need to require it.

The following discussions are required to continue working Issue 3450:

- All companies need to review potential Issue 3450 fields for comments
- Impact of full/partial migration to non-simple/non-complex process
- Directory impacts to non-simple/non-complex process
- CSR data response needs to include data required for non-simple/non-complex LSR
- Interval discussion
- Discussion regarding max lines allowed on non-simple/non-complex

The following validation fields have tentatively been agreed upon:

FIELD NAME	SIMPLE PORT	NON-SIMPLE NON-COMPLEX
AN (Account Number)	X	X
PORTED NBR (Ported Telephone Number)	X	X
ZIP (ZIP/Postal Code)	X	X
PID (Personal Identifier)	X	X
SANO (Service Address Number)		X

Although a significant amount of work has been accomplished, the finalization of a standard data set for submission of non-simple non-complex ports is in progress. In depth review of the impacts to the LSOG will follow.

**Agreement Reached:** Issue 3450 will remain open.

Issues in Final Closure: None.

Issues Withdrawn: Issue 3382

Issues in Initial Closure or Initial Pending: Issue 3381

Participants prioritized the open LSO Issues:

#### High Priority

1. Issue 3381, LSOG: Standardization of directory listings in the 102 Practice (proposed for Initial Closure)
2. Issue 3450, LSOG: Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE "C" Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders

#### Medium Priority

1. Issue 3443, LSOG: Increase the Name fields' length in the 71 and 72 practices (to be worked after Issue 3450)
2. Issue 3373, LSOG: Standardization of RT of "Z" in the 099 practice for REQTYPE "C" to be utilized by all providers
3. Issue 3477, LSOG: Standard field length minimums identified and repeating/# of occurrences on each field

#### Low Priority

1. Issue 3478, LSOG: Replace LALO with LD/LV fields on Directory Listing form

2. Issue 3382, LSOG: Standardization and consolidation of Directory Listings Inquiry/Response and Listing Reconciliation (from LSOG6) all into the 111 Practice (withdrawn)

It was noted that Issues 3448, LSOG – Add new Line Activity (LNA) value to require disposition of each Telephone number when converting, and 3449, LSOG – Allow for multiple Pilot Numbers on Hunt Group (HGI) form, are on hold pending internal review.

New Issues: None

The LSO has the following meeting scheduled:

DATE	CALL DETAILS
9/23/13 – 9/26/13	<b>LSO Face-to-Face Meeting</b> <b>Location: Overland Park, KS</b> <b>Host: CenturyLink</b> <b>Agenda:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issue 3450</li> </ul>

**INC Update – Dave Garner:**

**INC Issues Report**

LNPA WG Meeting – July 2013

**INC Leadership**

Elections were held for the open INC Co-chair positions. Dyan Adams of Verizon and Shaunna Forshee of Sprint were elected to fill the 2 INC Co-chair positions.

**INC Issue 759: Updates to the block expedite timeframe due to upcoming changes to the NPAC 5 Business Day First-Port Notification**

Issue Statement: As indicated in the notice NPAC sent to its users: At its May 8, 2013 meeting, the LNPA Working Group re-considered the first port notification process and concluded that while the first port notification should be retained, the delay interval imposed on the activation of an SV or thousand block should be eliminated. Effective Sunday, July 14th, the 5 business day minimum interval will be reduced to 0 for initial porting activity in a code where it is the port of a telephone number that triggers the process. The minimum interval for creation of a block, where it is a block that represents the first porting activity in the NPA-NXX, also will be reduced. However, because coding is required to bring the interval all the way down to 0, the interval for a block's activation will drop to 1 business day. This minimum interval for block activation eventually will be reduced to 0 as well, but not until the coding necessary to accomplish the change is performed. We will provide notice when the block's interval can be reduced to 0.

With this change to the first port interval INC may consider shortening the block expedite timeframes.

At the June INC meeting, the issue was reviewed and the upcoming NPAC changes for July 14<sup>th</sup> were discussed. Based on first port interval changes, language modification in Section 8.6 (c)(2) of the

TBPAG and Section 6.3.3 of the COCAG were identified to accommodate the new NPAC first-port notification intervals. Discussion on the suggested document changes and resulting timeframes will continue at the August INC meeting.

The NPAC Pooling operations team's email to the Block Holder and Pooling Administrator (PA), when a Block cannot be created because the code has not been created in the NPAC database, is affected by the change in the 1<sup>st</sup> Port notification. Currently the email reads, "---- *If the code isn't loaded into the NPAC at least 5 business days prior to your block's assigned effective date of MM/DD/YYYY, then your NPAC block's effective date will be delayed.* ---- ". The NPAC Pooling operation team plans to change the email on July 15<sup>th</sup> to read "1 business day", instead of "5 business days", so it matches the new minimum interval for block activation. The INC members agreed with this planned change.

### **INC GS 723: GN Docket No. 13-5 Technology Transitions Policy Task Force Seeks Comment on Potential Trials.**

On May 10, 2013, the FCC released GN Docket No 13-5, which states in the first paragraph,

"The Technology Transitions Policy Task Force (Task Force) proposes to move forward with real world trials to obtain data that will be helpful to the Commission. The goal of any trials would be to gather a factual record to help determine what policies are appropriate to promote investment and innovation while protecting consumers, promoting competition, and ensuring that emerging all-Internet Protocol (IP) networks remain resilient. We seek comment on several potential trials relating to the ongoing transitions from copper to fiber, from wireline to wireless, and from time-division multiplexing (TDM) to IP. The Commission has a long history of using trials and pilot programs to help answer questions regarding technical concerns and to gather data and develop appropriate policy recommendations. Indeed, the Commission recently unanimously authorized a 6-month trial to examine providing interconnected VoIP providers direct access to telephone numbers. Stakeholders have also requested that the Commission initiate trials to explore technology transition issues.

In the spirit of these prior initiatives, we seek comment on a set of potential trials to assist the Commission in ensuring that policy decisions related to ongoing technology transitions are grounded in sound data. "

- Comments: [45 days after publication in the Federal Register]
- Reply Comments: [75 days after publication in the Federal Register]

At the June INC meeting, INC reviewed GN Docket No 13-5 at a high level and noted that section " II. Additional Trials ", addresses additional trials involving Numbering and related databases.

This section also asks a number of questions relate to numbering, porting numbers in an all-IP environment, trial database and existing databases.

(See copy of section " II. Additional Trials", below)

#### **" II. Additional Trials**

Numbering and related databases. We seek comment on a potential additional trial on numbering issues and related databases. The Commission recently authorized a limited 6-month trial to provide interconnected VoIP providers direct access to numbers, but that trial will not specifically examine changes in the structure of current numbering databases. We note that the technology transition offers an opportunity to take a fresh look at the assignment of numbers and the features, capabilities, and security of numbering-related databases, and the TAC recently made related recommendations on these issues. For example, there have been industry proposals for a unified, IP-accessible database that provides secure access to number-related information. Could a technology trial serve as a means to test new technical proposals for assigning telephone numbers, e.g., individually instead of in blocks of 1,000?

What protocols and procedures are most effective to assign and port numbers in an all-IP environment? Should there be a trial database that provides access to number-related information such as points of termination or caller-ID information? If so, how would we ensure that the information in the trial database(s) is kept consistent with existing databases such as the Local Exchange Routing Guide (LERG) and the caller-ID name (CNAM) databases? Should such databases support services other than voice, such as real-time video and text? Finally, should any such trial be conducted in conjunction with a VoIP interconnection trial or separately? ---- “



GS 723 - GN Docket  
No. 13-5 Technology

### **NANC Future of Numbering WG Update – Adam Newman:**

No one available to give a report since Adam Newman is no longer with Telcordia or the FoN. The FoN is planning to elect new chairs during their meeting this month.

### **NANC Flows related to PIM 81 and Best Practice 65 – All**



NANC\_OPS\_Flows\_Narratives v4.2.1 - 06-

The changes were reviewed and agreed to. The changes will be included along with the 5-day porting change (next item) and presented to the NANC at their September meeting. Changes to the flows and narratives must be approved by the NANC and FCC.

### **Best Practice 30 – NPA Split**

**Action Item 050713-03:** Ron Steen is to update Best Practice 30 with the NPA split information. The updated information is to be sent to John Nakamura for posting on the website.

Ron and John have completed this action item

**THIS ACTION ITEM IS CLOSED.**

**Action Item 050713-04:** Service providers are to be prepared to state their company positions at the July 2013 meeting as to whether or not BP 30 on NPA splits should be presented to NANC requesting their endorsement.

Consensus was to present to the NANC with emphasis on benefits to the end user.

**THIS ACTION ITEM IS CLOSED.**

Paula Campagnoli was given an action item to prepare draft wording for the September 2013 NANC Report.

**Action Item 070913-01:** The LNPA Working Group reached consensus to present the Best Practice 30 position about NPA splits to the NANC for endorsement and forwarding to the FCC. Paula Campagnoli will prepare draft wording for the September NANC report and distribute to the WG for comment.

### **Review Action Item Concerning Removal of 5-Day First Port Edit - All**

**Action Item 030513-LNPAWG-01:** All service providers are to be prepared to discuss at the May 2013 LNPA WG meeting whether or not the 5-day porting interval is still needed for the first port in an NPA-NXX. The 5-day interval was established to allow time to change switch translations to query calls for that NPA-NXX. This eliminated the need to query on NPA-NXXs that have no ported numbers.

Consensus of the WG is to eliminate the 5-day first port interval. There will still be a first port notification. This will also affect activation of -x blocks. The change is to be made during the maintenance window on July 14.

Neustar will send a cross-regional message informing users.

#### **ACTION ITEM 030513-LNPAWG IS CLOSED.**

**Action Item 050713-05:** Deb Tucker will research the porting flows and Best Practices to determine if changes are required by elimination of the 5-day first port interval.

#### **ACTION ITEM 050713-05-LNPAWG IS CLOSED.**

The consensus of the Working Group is to make these changes final. This change will be reported to the NANC as their September meeting.

John Nakamura has provided both a clean version and a “red-lined” version of the updated NANC Porting Flow narratives. The documents include both the PIM81/BP65 changes and the elimination of the 5-day first port changes. BP 67 was also slightly modified to remove a sentence referring to the first port interval.



NANC\_OPS\_Flows\_Narratives v4.2.1 - 07-



NANC\_OPS\_Flows\_Narratives v4.2.1 - 07-

### **Change Management – Neustar**

#### **Alternative Interface (NANC change Order 372) – All**



NANC 372 - FRS\_3.4.2a\_02-08-1:

**Action Item 050713-01:** It has been recommended that the capability for service providers to manage their own NPA-NXX filters not be included in the XML interface. This will be approved or disapproved at the July 2013 meeting. The recommendation is based on the fact that Neustar has been unable to identify any instances where service providers have used this feature in the CMIP interface. Service providers are to determine whether they ever use the SOA or LSMS to set their own NPA-NXX filters. Vendors are to determine whether or not their systems currently support this capability.

Consensus of the WG is that the ability for service providers to manage their own NPA-NXX filters is not needed in the XML interface.

**ACTION ITEM 050713-01 IS CLOSED.**

Jim Rooks went through the XIS Schema document.  
Some notes:

During the May meeting, it was requested that Neustar and iconectiv have a conference call to discuss and resolve the XIS and schema items flagged by iconectiv. Neustar hosted the conference call and the documents have been updated based on the discussion on the call. All changes since the LNPAWG reviewed v1.0 of the document in May are red-lined for the LNPAWG to review in the July meeting. There are numerous changes in the documents so please review them and bring any comments to the July meeting.

Here is a summary of changes from XML schema version 1.0 to 1.2:

- Billing ID was changed from a field of 0-4 chars to a field of 1-4 chars.
- OptionalDataName was changed from a field of 0-30 chars to a field of 1-30 chars.
- OptionalDataValue was changed from a field of 0-256 chars to a field of 1-256 chars.
- SchemaVersion pattern changed to support format n.n.
- Removed changed choice of a choice in SubscriptionVersionAction to just a choice.
- In AuditResults, the element audit\_data was changed to audit\_discrepancy\_data.
- In AuditCancelReplyData the audit\_list was removed because only one audit can be canceled at a time.
- AuditRequestData split into AuditCancelRequestData and AuditQueryRequestData.
- audit\_id is now optional in the AuditCancelReplyData.
- audit\_requesting\_spid was removed from AuditCreateRequestData.
- The npa\_nxx\_list was removed from NpaNxxDeleteReplyData because only one npa\_nxx can be deleted at a time.
- npa\_nxx\_id is now optional in the NpaNxxDeleteReplyData.
- The lrn\_list was removed from LrnDeleteReplyData because only one lrn can be deleted at a time.
- lrn\_id is now optional in the LrnDeleteReplyData.
- NumberPoolBlockObjectData was renamed to NumberPoolBlockQueryData.

- svb\_download\_reason was removed and only download\_reason is used now.
- activity\_timestamp was added to NumberPoolBlockQueryData.
- block\_dash\_x is now required in NumberPoolBlockAttributeData.
- The block\_list was removed from NumberPoolBlockModifyReplyData because only one block can be modified at a time.
- block\_id is now optional in the NumberPoolBlockModifyReplyData.
- svb\_timestamp was renamed to svb\_old\_timestamp in SubscriptionVersionQueryData.
- npa\_nxx\_modified\_timestamp was removed from NpaNxxData.
- npa\_nxx\_modified\_timestamp field in NpaNxxModifyData is now a required field.
- FailedTnList and TnVersionIdList were removed because they weren't being used.
- sp\_id is now optional in NumberPoolBlockModifyData.
- The choice in SubscriptionVersionDeleteData was removed.

### **NANC 372 Turn-up Test Cases**

John Nakamura led a discussion of test cases with the XML interface integrated.

As the test requirements for “keep-alive” were being discussed, it was agreed that each local system keep-alive should match the NPAC-SMS keep-alive value. Therefore, the test cases to test the keep-alive feature must be retained.

### **NANC Change Order 449 – Active/Active SOA Connection to NPAC – same SPID**

**Action Item 050713-06:** A cross reference field has been requested as part of NANC 449 implementation. It was suggested that the field be limited to 25 characters. Service providers are to be prepared to state their company positions as to whether or not this is the correct size at the July 2013 meeting.

LNPA WG consensus is that 25 is enough.

**ACTION ITEM 050713-06 IS CLOSED.**

### **New Change Order – Inactive SPID**

**061713-01:** Currently, a SPID can be deleted from the NPAC as long as it does not own any NPA-NXXs, LRNs, NPA-NXX-Xs, Number Pool Blocks, and SVs. The only SVs that are allowed to exist are those with a status of Old (with an empty Failed SP List) or Cancelled, where the SPID to be deleted is either the New SP or the Old SP value. The proposed change would also include Active SVs where the SPID is the Old SP value on those SVs. Similar to Old and Cancelled, the Old SP value on an Active SV is considered to be historical information, and not required for subsequent porting or PTOs. SOA Vendors are to be prepared at the July 2013 LNPA WG meeting to state any objection or issue to the short-term solution of the new change order, where the NPAC would send a Delete Request to the SOA for a SPID that is the Old SP value on an Active SV.



NANC TBD - inactive  
SPID - v1 (2).docx

SOA vendors present all agreed that the short term solution would be ok.

**ACTION ITEM 061713-01 IS CLOSED.**

Service providers would like short term solution applied right away. Short term won't require SOW and won't require NAPM approval. The WG agreed to the short term solution. Neustar will look at schedule and provide information to the working group. John will add requirements to the change order (and a number) for the long-term solution, and add a note that the short term was approved.

**WEDNESDAY July 10, 2013**

**Attendance**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>
Lonnie Keck	AT&T	Dave Garner	Neustar
Ron Steen	AT&T	Fariba Jafari	Neustar
Teresa Patton	AT&T	Jim Rooks	Neustar
Tracey Guidotti	AT&T	John Nakamura	Neustar
Renee Dillon	AT&T	Lavinia Rotaru	Neustar
Li Young	AT&T	Paul LaGattuta	Neustar
Mark Lancaster	AT&T (phone)	Marcel Champagne	Neustar (phone)
Frank App III	Bright House (phone)	Stephen Addicks	Neustar (phone)
Matt Nolan	Bright House (phone)	Ed Barker	Neustar (phone)
Barbara Hjelmaa	Bright House (phone)	Mubeen Saifullah	Neustar Clearinghouse
Cristy Permenter	Bright House (phone)	Shannon Sevigny	Neustar Pooling (phone)
Jan Doell	CenturyLink	Jeff Sonnier	Sprint
Brenda Bloemke	Comcast	Ann Fenaroli	Sprint (phone)
Linda Birchem	Comcast (phone)	Suzanne Addington	Sprint
Jennifer Hutton	Cox (phone)	Darren Post	Synchronoss
Dena Hunter	Cricket (phone)	Jeanne Kulesa	Synchronoss
Linda Peterman	Earthlink Business	Rosalee Pinnock	Syniverse
George Tsacnaris	iconectiv	Luke Sessions	T-Mobile
Joel Zamlong	iconectiv	Paula Campagnoli	T-Mobile
John Malyar	iconectiv	Jesse Ochoa	T-Mobile
Pat White	iconectiv	Greg Ortman	T-Mobile
Steven Koch	Iconectiv	Jason Lee	Verizon (phone)
Kim Isaacs	Integra	Deb Tucker	Verizon Wireless
Bridget Alexander	JSI (phone)	Scott Terry	Windstream
Karen Hoffman	JSI (phone)	Dawn Lawrence	XO

**Discussion of updates to open change orders**

The embedded change order doc shows changes made to NANC 449 requirements



NANC Change  
Orders 06-30-13.doc

**New Change Order – Remove Unused Messages from NPAC**

The change order below removes unused messages:



NANC TBD - Remove  
Unused Messages - v

Originally started from a discussion of NANC 372. There is no need for an SP to self-manage NPA-NXX Filters, since no one does this in production today (instead they call the help desk to have the help desk add or delete Filters for them). It was expanded to also include the never-before-used-in-production Operational-Info Notification (instead the NPAC has an industry agreed upon schedule for weekly maintenance).

Consensus was agreement. John will prepare a change order with requirements that will be impacted by this change. This will be reviewed at the September meeting.

**New Change Order – Update on SP Type and SV Type for the FCC VoIP Numbering Trial**

The change order below changes the definition of the VoIP providers:



NANC TBD - Update  
SP Type and SV Type

As discussed and agreed during our May meeting, the definition of VoIP providers needs to be modified

**SPID Migration Limits – Increase Regional, TN Threshold - All**

Limits on SPID migrations are based on TNs. With the elimination of non-EDR, should the definition be changed to some other parameter? Could change to the sum of the ported TNs and pooled blocks. Could we change to this sum being 500K as we were using this as the max number of TNs?

WG agrees in concept. John and Steve will prepare language for review at the September meeting.

**NANC 372 Implementation Schedule**

The NANC 372 Implementation Schedule will be a standing agenda item until complete.



NANC 372 Timeline  
updated 06122013.ppt

**New Business**

Verizon brought up an issue. Previously, PIM 32 and BP 48 addressed issues with delay of porting requests. Some old service providers are delaying ports because they ask for info not readily available. This will be put on the agenda for the September. BP 67 and 70 would help with this issue, but we are awaiting endorsement by the FCC. Paula will attempt to get a status.

**2014 Meeting Schedule**

The WG agreed on meeting dates for 2014. Hosts and locations will be determined later.

**2014 Meetings and Conference Calls**

January 7-8	Face-to-Face	July 8-9	Face-to-Face
-------------	--------------	----------	--------------

February 11	Conference Call	August 5	Conference Call
March 4-5	Face-to-Face	September 9-10	Face-to-Face
April 8	Conference Call	October 7	Conference Call
May 6-7	Face-to-Face	November 4-5	Face-to-Face
June 10	Conference Call	December 9	Conference Call

**Testing sub-team call on August 6. No WG conference call.**

*Next Meeting ... September 10-11, 2013: Location...Denver, CO ...Hosted by Comcast*