## June 17, 2013 LNPA WORKING GROUP ACTION ITEMS ASSIGNED:

## NOTE: FOR THE FOLLOWING ACTION ITEMS THIS NUMBERING SCHEME APPLIES:

- FIRST TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE MONTH OF THE LNPA WG MEETING/CALL
- SECOND TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE DAY OF THE LNPA WG MEETING/CALL
- THIRD TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE YEAR OF THE LNPA WG
  MEETING/CALL
- LAST TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE ACTION ITEM NUMBER

## **LNPA WG PARTICIPANTS ACTION ITEMS:**

**061713-01:** Currently, a SPID can be deleted from the NPAC as long as it does not own any NPA-NXXs, LRNs, NPA-NXX-Xs, Number Pool Blocks, and SVs. The only SVs that are allowed to exist are those with a status of Old (with an empty Failed SP List) or Cancelled, where the SPID to be deleted is either the New SP or the Old SP value. The proposed change would also include Active SVs where the SPID is the Old SP value on those SVs. Similar to Old and Cancelled, the Old SP value on an Active SV is considered to be historical information, and not required for subsequent porting or PTOs. <u>SOA Vendors</u> are to be prepared at the July 2013 LNPA WG meeting to state any objection or issue to the short-term solution of the new change order, where the NPAC would send a Delete Request to the SOA for a SPID that is the Old SP value on an Active SV.

## ACTION ITEMS REMAINING OPEN FROM PREVIOUS LNPA WG MEETINGS:

**050713-01:** It has been recommended that the capability for service providers to manage their own NPA-NXX filters <u>not</u> be included in the XML interface. This will be approved or disapproved at the July 2013 meeting. The recommendation is based on the fact that Neustar has been unable to identify any instances where service providers have used this feature in the CMIP interface. <u>Service providers</u> are to determine whether they ever use the SOA or LSMS to set their own NPA-NXX filters. <u>Vendors</u> are to determine whether or not their systems currently support this capability.

**050713-03:** <u>Ron Steen</u> is to update Best Practice 30 with the NPA split information. The updated information is to be sent to John Nakamura for posting on the website.

**050713-04:** <u>Service providers</u> are to be prepared to state their company positions at the July 2013 meeting as to whether or not BP 30 on NPA splits should be presented to NANC requesting their endorsement.

**050713-05:** <u>Deb Tucker</u> will research the porting flows and Best Practices to determine if changes are required by elimination of the 5-day first port interval.

**050713-06:** A cross reference field has been requested as part of NANC 449 implementation. It was suggested that the field be limited to25 characters. <u>Service providers</u> are to be prepared to

state their company positions as to whether or not this is the correct size at the July 2013 meeting.