

LNPA WORKING GROUP
May 7-8, 2013 Meeting
Final Minutes

Miami, FL	Host: Neustar
------------------	----------------------

FULL LNPA WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION:

TUESDAY May 7, 2013

Attendance

Name	Company	Name	Company
Lonnie Keck	AT&T	Karen Hoffman	JSI (phone)
Ron Steen	AT&T	Lynette Khirallah	NetNumber (phone)
Teresa Patton	AT&T	Dave Garner	Neustar
Tracey Guidotti	AT&T	Ed Barker	Neustar (phone)
Mark Lancaster	AT&T (phone)	Fariba Jafari	Neustar
Renee Dillon	AT&T (phone)	Jim Rooks	Neustar
Frank App III	Bright House	John Nakamura	Neustar
Matt Nolan	Bright House	Kristen Hamilton	Neustar
Barbara Hjelmaa	Bright House (phone)	Lavinia Rotaru	Neustar
Cristy Permenter	Bright House (phone)	Marcel Champagne	Neustar
Marian Hearn	Canadian LNP Consortium	Michael O'Connor	Neustar
Jan Doell	CenturyLink	Pamela Connell	Neustar
Brenda Bloemke	Comcast (phone)	Paul LaGattuta	Neustar
Kerri Burke	Comcast (phone)	Stephen Addicks	Neustar
Beth O'Donnell	Cox (phone)	Mubeen Saifullah	Neustar Clearinghouse
Devang Naik	DSET	Shannon Sevigny	Neustar Pooling (phone)
Robin Rice	DSET	Ann Fenaroli	Sprint Nextel
Linda Peterman	Earthlink Business	Rosemary Emmer	Sprint Nextel
Jeff Sonnier	Ericsson	Jeanne Kulesa	Synchronoss (phone)
Crystal Hanus	GVNW (phone)	Rosalee Pinnock	Syniverse
George Tsacnaris	iconectiv	Luke Sessions	T-Mobile
Joel Zamlong	iconectiv	Paula Campagnoli	T-Mobile
John Malyar	iconectiv	Kayla Sharbaugh	TNS (phone)
Pat White	iconectiv	Jason Lee	Verizon (phone)
Steven Koch	Iconectiv (phone)	Deb Tucker	Verizon Wireless
Kim Isaacs	Integra (phone)	Imanu Hill	Vonage
Bridget Alexander	JSI (phone)	Dawn Lawrence	XO (phone)

NOTE: ALL ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE "May 7-8 2013 WG ACTION ITEMS" FILE AND ATTACHED HERE.

LNPA WORKING GROUP MEETING MINUTES:

2013 LNPA WG Meeting/Call Schedule:

Following is the current schedule for the 2013 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

MONTH (2013)	NANC MEETING DATES	LNPA WG MEETING/CALL DATES	HOST COMPANY	MEETING LOCATION
January		8 th -9 th	Ericsson/ Telcordia	Scottsdale, Arizona
February		No meeting or call. Scheduled time on 2/5/13 for conference call to be used by APT.		
March		5 th -6 th	DSET	Atlanta, Georgia
April		No meeting or Conference Call. 04/09/2013 call if necessary		
May		7 th -8 th	Neustar	Miami Beach, FL
June		No meeting. 06/17/2013 Conference Call		
July		9 th -10 th	T-Mobile	Seattle, Washington
August		No meeting. 08/06/2013 call if necessary		
September		10 th -11 th	Comcast	Denver, Colorado
October		No meeting. 10/08/2013 call if necessary		
November		5 th -6 th	AT&T	San Antonio, Texas
December		No meeting. 12/03/2013 call if necessary		

March 5-6, 2013 Draft Full LNPA WG Meeting Minutes Review:

- No changes were made to the March 5-6, 2013 DRAFT Full LNPA WG meeting minutes, and they were approved as FINAL.

Updates from Other Industry Groups

OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering (WSO) Subcommittee Update (Deb Tucker, Verizon Wireless):

OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee:

- The Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee met March 27, 2013. No new issues were introduced.
- The Subcommittee discussed the WICIS release requirements necessary to implement the elimination of the 90 day due date restriction on wireless to wireline ports as a result of Issue 3442. Pending approval from one participant, it was agreed to move forward with allowing vendors to implement the 90 day due date change without a formal WICIS release and wait on the results of LSO Issue 3450.
- The Subcommittee continues to follow the Local Service Ordering Subcommittee efforts related to Issue 3450, Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE “C” Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders, to determine if WICIS changes will be required as a result of their efforts.
- Issue 3429 – WICIS Review for Alignment and Business Practices. This is a blanket issue opened to review the WICIS document for any needed updates and it remains open.
- The next OBF Ordering Solutions Wireless Service Ordering Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for May 23, 2013.

OBF Local Service Ordering Subcommittee – Linda Peterman:

OBF

ORDERING SOLUTIONS COMMITTEE

LOCAL SERVICE ORDERING SUBCOMMITTEE

Since the March 2013 LNPA WG meeting, two virtual Local Service Ordering Subcommittee (LSO) virtual meetings were held, March 26th and April 30th. Both were primarily focusing on Issue 3381, however, the 4/30 meeting also established working timeframes for addressing issues (including 3381, 3382 and 3450) during the face-to-face meeting in Denver rescheduled for the week of May 13th.

3450/ LSOG: Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE “C” Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders

During prior meetings, agreement was reached on phase one of this issue addressing a standard set of validation fields for Non Simple/Non-Complex Ports as defines below:

Simple ports, as noted in FCC 09-41:

- do not involve unbundled network elements (REQTYP = C (number portability))
- involve an account only for a single line
- do not include complex switch translations (e.g., Centrex, ISDN, AIN services, remote call forwarding, or multiple services on the loop)
- do not include a reseller
- includes directory with End User Listing Treatment as no modification or delete (ELT = A or B)*

Non-simple ports (REQTYP = C (number portability) only)*:

- Non-complex ports:
 - meets the simple port criteria, with the following exceptions:
 - can include more than one line but must involve a single LSR for a single service address
 - quantity of TNs may not exceed 50 based on the current project criteria in LNPA WG Best Practice 67
 - could include a resold service
 - is not considered a project
- Complex ports:
 - When the port does not meet the criteria for either simple or non-complex, it is categorized as complex and considered out of scope for this Issue.

*** not defined in FCC 09-41**

The following validation fields have been agreed upon:

FIELD NAME	SIMPLE PORT	NON-SIMPLE NON-COMPLEX
AN (Account Number)	X	X
PORTED NBR (Ported Telephone Number)	X	X
ZIP (ZIP/Postal Code)	X	X
PID (Personal Identifier)	X	X
SANO (Service Address Number)		X

With respect to Phase 2 of issue 3450, an initial review of the list of potential fields for a standard data set to be utilized for Non-Simple/Non-Complex Ports was completed. Further, in depth review of the fields, as well as LSOG impacts will take place during the face-to-face meeting and feedback from the member companies will be taken into consideration. The goal is to have a tentative standard data set by the end of the meeting for members to take back to their companies for final review.

Issues in Final Closure: None.

3471	LSOG: Cleanup of the LTEXT, OMTN and RTY fields in the oii, 102, 111 and 122 practices.
----------------------	--

Issues **Withdrawn**: None

Issues in **Initial Closure or Initial Pending**: None

Open Issues

3450	LSOG: Standard Validation and Submission fields for REQTYPE “C” Simple and Non-Simple Port Orders. Work to continue during face-to-face
3449	LSOG – Allow for multiple Pilot Numbers on Hunt Group (HGI) form – Deferred while different options for vendor solutions are reviewed. Will be withdrawn if vendor solution is reached.
3448	LSOG – Add new Line Activity (LNA) value to require disposition of each Telephone Number when converting – Deferred while being reviewed internally by issue champion.
3443	LSOG: Increase the Name fields’ length in the 71 and 72 practices – Deferred until issues 3381 and 3382 are completed & to be worked in conjunction with issue 3450.
3382	LSOG: Standardization and consolidation of Directory Listings Inquiry/Response and Listing Reconciliation (from LSOG6) all into the 111 Practice – Following 3381 at face-to-face.
3381	LSOG: Standardization of directory listings in the 102 Practice – Target completion during face-to-face, however, will not be able to send to closure until 3382 is also completed.in progress
3373 -	LSOG: Standardization of RT of “Z” in the 099 practice for REQTYP “C” to be utilized by all providers - Deferred until issues 3381 and 3382 are completed.

New Issues: None

The LSO has the following meeting scheduled:

DATE	CALL DETAILS
5/13 – 5/16/13	LSO Face-to-Face Meeting Location: Denver, CO Host: CenturyLink Agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issues 3381 and 3450.

INC Update – Dave Garner:

INC Issues Report

LNPA WG Meeting – May 2013

INC Issue 719: Available “Red” Blocks where PSTN Activation has not been confirmed
Issue Statement: Most of the pools are being replenished by the opening of new codes for pool replenishment or for LRN purposes. When a new code is opened the blocks not assigned to the code holder are placed in the available pool with a future effective date and show up on the block available report in “red” until the code holder confirms activation in the PSTN and all other code holder responsibilities have been met. Currently the guidelines allow an SP that is not the code holder to request the assignment of these “red” blocks as long as they acknowledge that they are willing to accept a block in “red” and that they explicitly understand that the underlying CO code may not yet be activated in the PSTN and loaded in the NPAC on the block effective date.

SPs who have been assigned these “red” blocks are encountering delays with the activation of the blocks in these codes. This has caused an increased volume of requests by the SP receiving the block, for the PA to follow up with code holders who have not confirmed PSTN activation by the code effective date. The PA is asked to act as the mediator between the two companies which is causing a lot of extra work. In addition, the assignment of “red” blocks is causing additional work for the NPAC pooling team since they are often not able to create the block records in the NPAC database at the time of the block assignment because the code holder has not yet established the code in the NPAC database. This is causing the NPAC pooling team to keep separate lists of blocks where the code has not yet been established and then follow up until they are able to create the block record.

At the April INC meeting, it was explained that an objection had been raised regarding putting this issue into Initial Closure and a new contribution was submitted asking for additional clarification language to be added to the NPAC Pooling operations team email to the Block Holder and Pool Administrator (PA) when a Block cannot be created because the code has not been created in the NPAC database. The new contribution was reviewed and INC agreed to ask that the email be modified to read as shown below. The NPAC Pooling operation team has agreed to make the changes in their email as INC requested and the changes were implemented on May 1, 2013. The email now read:

To: Block Holder
CC: Pooling Administrator

Block Holder,

When I attempted to load block NPA-NXX-X in the NPAC, I received the error message: “NPA-NXX does not exist in the NPAC.” The code holder has 10 calendar days from assignment of the code by the NANPA to load the code in the NPAC [See section 4.2.1 c) in the Thousands-Block Pooling Guidelines]. To check the assignment date of the NPA-NXX code, please use the following URL to check the Codes Utilized Report on the NANPA website: http://www.nanpa.com/nas/public/assigned_code_query_step1.do?method=resetCodeQueryModel.

If the code has been assigned for more than 10 calendar days, you may forward this email to the Pooling Administrator and ask the Pooling Administrator to contact the code holder to ask that the code holder load the code in NPAC. The code is NPA-NXX.

If the code isn't loaded into the NPAC at least 5 business days prior to your block's assigned effective date of MM/DD/YYYY, then your NPAC block's effective date will be delayed.

To check to see if the NPA-NXX has been loaded into NPAC, please use the following URL: <http://www.npac.com/the-npac/portable-open-codes>

*Thank you,
NPAC Pooling team member*

INC GS 717: Toll-free Number Exhaust Forecasting /844 Numbering Plan Area Recommendations, CC Docket No. 95-155, and WCB Docket 12-260
During the December INC meeting, NANPA advised INC they had received additional correspondence from the SMS/800 Number Administration committee (SNAC) regarding the forecasted exhaust date for the existing toll free resources of 800, 888, 877, 866 and 855. Based on the SMS/800, Inc. forecasted exhaust information and recommendation for opening the 844 NPA on or about February 15, 2014, NANPA issued a Planning Letter (PL – 443) to the industry on December 7, 2013.

At the April INC meeting, INC reviewed GS 717, which is communication between SMS/800, INC, and the FCC. In the communication, SMS/800, INC provided a status on Toll-free number utilization and continued to recommend the FCC open the new 844 Toll-free NPA on or about February 15, 2014.
INC discussed the implementation of this new NPA and agreed to recommend that service providers have their network preparations for implementation of the 844 Toll-free NPA completed by November 2, 2013.
NANPA will issue a Planning letter to the industry stating the INC recommendation to service providers that they have their network preparations implemented by November 2, 2013. The Planning letter will also advised that on April 4, 2013, the FCC requested comments from the industry regarding the opening of the 844 code for toll-free number assignments on February 15, 2014.

INC GS 721: FCC Public Order 13-51, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), regarding interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) providers to obtain telephone numbers directly from the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) and the Pooling Administrator (PA)
On April 18, 2013, FCC 13-51 was issued and includes an NPRM, Notice of Inquiry (NOI Order) and Ordering Clauses. The NPRM is regarding interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) providers obtaining telephone numbers directly from North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) and the Pooling Administrator (PA).

At the April INC meeting, INC reviewed the GS 721: FCC Public Order 13-51 and assigned it to be worked in the NARP subcommittee. It was noted that FCC 13-51, had not been posted in the Federal Register as of the time of the INC meeting on April 23rd. During the subcommittee meeting only a high level discussion was held as the

participants had not yet reviewed the FCC document in detail, due to it just being issued. Some of the issues mentioned that will need research and possible action are:

- One aspect of the FCC 13-51 is a limited technical trial in which Vonage and other interconnected VoIP providers that have pending petitions for waiver of section 52.15(g)(2)(i) and meet other terms and conditions, can obtain a small pool of numbers directly from NANPA and/or the PA. Trial participants will be required to file regular reports throughout and at the end of the six-month trial and state commissions and other interested Parties will have an opportunity to comment on these reports
 - o Example of trial limits: Paragraph 100 addresses that Vonage can get a certain number of 1K Blocks directly from the PA. It also addresses Vonage being able to get numbers (125,000) reassigned from their CLEC partners. It also states this will enable Vonage to test porting processes for existing and new customers, as well as trail the process for assigning numbers to non-ported customers.
- A Narrow waiver of section 52.15(g)(2)(i) of the rules is granted to TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. (TCS) for direct access to pseudo Automatic Number identification (ANI) codes for the purpose of providing 911 and E911 service.
- In Appendix A, there are some telecom definition changes that will probably require changes to the INC documents.
- In the accompanying Notice of Inquiry (NOI), the FCC is seeking comment on a range of issues regarding long-term approach to numbering resources, such as, Geographic Numbers, Public Safety, Disability Access, Routing and Interconnection, etc.
- It was noted that Comment Date is 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register and Reply Comment Date is 60 days after date of publication in the Federal Register.



GS 721 - FCC 13-51 -
NPRM, Order, NOI(O)

NANC Future of Numbering WG Update – Adam Newman:

FoN is currently reviewing its issue statements and suggested work plans for FTN 7 – PSTN to IP Transition, FTN 4 – Geographic Issues and FTN 6 – M2M Demand in light of the presentation from the FCC CTO at NANC and the recent FCC NPRM/Order/NOI. We agreed at our last meeting to schedule two interim meetings 5/15 and 5/22 to have an open discussion of participant thinking with regard to the portions of the NPRM/NOI that are within the FoN's Mission and Scope.

Review and Discuss Next Steps for Best Practices Review and Update – All

Action Item 010813-LNPAWG-01: All service providers are to review the Best Practices document (embedded here) and be prepared to discuss any issues and finalize at the March meeting.

LNPA Working Group Number Portability Res
01/17/2012

ACTION ITEM 010813-LNPAWG-01 IS CLOSED.

No issues were brought up concerning the Best Practices document. Consensus was to not share the whole document with the NANC and FCC. We will share individual Best Practices that we feel specifically should be shared. We will report to the NANC that we have completed the review. We will continue to add and revise as necessary.

BP30 needs to be updated with the new split documentation. Service providers need to consider whether or not BP30 updates should be presented to the NANC requesting their endorsement.

Action Item 050713-03: Ron Steen is to update Best Practice 30 with the NPA split information. The updated information is to be sent to John Nakamura for posting on the website.

Action Item 050713-04: Service providers are to be prepared to state their company positions at the July 2013 meeting as to whether or not BP 30 on NPA splits should be presented to NANC requesting their endorsement.

Review Action Item Concerning Remove of 5-Day First Port Edit - All

Action Item 030513-LNPAWG-01: All service providers are to be prepared to discuss at the May 2013 LNPA WG meeting whether or not the 5-day porting interval is still needed for the first port in an NPA-NXX. The 5-day interval was established to allow time to change switch translations to query calls for that NPA-NXX. This eliminated the need to query on NPA-NXXs that have no ported numbers.

Consensus of the WG is to eliminate the 5-day first port interval. There will still be a first port notification. This will also affect activation of -x blocks. The change is to be made during the maintenance window on July 14.

Neustar will send a cross-regional message informing users.

ACTION ITEM 030513-LNPAWG-01 IS CLOSED.

Action Item 050713-05: Deb Tucker will research the porting flows and Best Practices to determine if changes are required by elimination of the 5-day first port interval.

FULL LNPA WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION:

WEDNESDAY May 8, 2013

Wednesday, 05/08/13, Attendance:

Name	Company	Name	Company
Lonnie Keck	AT&T	Kim Isaacs	Integra (phone)
Ron Steen	AT&T	Bridget Alexander	JSI (phone)
Teresa Patton	AT&T	Karen Hoffman	JSI (phone)
Tracey Guidotti	AT&T	Lynette Khirallah	NetNumber (phone)
Frank App III	Bright House	Dave Garner	Neustar
Matt Nolan	Bright House	Fariba Jafari	Neustar
Barbara Hjelmaa	Bright House (phone)	Jim Rooks	Neustar
Cristy Permenter	Bright House (phone)	John Nakamura	Neustar
Marian Hearn	Canadian LNP Consortium	Kristen Hamilton	Neustar
Jan Doell	CenturyLink	Lavinia Rotaru	Neustar
Brenda Bloemke	Comcast (phone)	Marcel Champagne	Neustar
Kerri Burke	Comcast (phone)	Pamela Connell	Neustar
Linda Birchem	Comcast (phone)	Paul LaGattuta	Neustar
Beth O'Donnell	Cox (phone)	Stephen Addicks	Neustar
Devang Naik	DSET	Mubeen Saifullah	Neustar Clearinghouse
Linda Peterman	Earthlink Business	Shannon Sevigny	Neustar Pooling (phone)
Jeff Sonnier	Ericsson	Ann Fenaroli	Sprint Nextel
Crystal Hanus	GVNW (phone)	Rosemary Emmer	Sprint Nextel
Adam Newman	iconectiv	Rosalee Pinnock	Syniverse
George Tsacnaris	iconectiv	Luke Sessions	T-Mobile
Joel Zamlong	iconectiv	Paula Campagnoli	T-Mobile
John Malyar	iconectiv	Deb Tucker	Verizon Wireless
Pat White	iconectiv	Imanu Hill	Vonage
Steven Koch	Iconectiv (phone)	Dawn Lawrence	XO (phone)

Review Action Item Concerning Porting Multiple Numbers on One LSR - All

Action Item 030513-LNPAWG-02: Wireline service providers are to be prepared to discuss at the May 2013 LNPA WG meeting if they are having issues with some wireless providers refusing to port multiple numbers on the same LSR even though they are on the same account. Verizon has encountered wireless providers who require a separate LSR for each number.

Earthlink, AT&T, and Comcast indicated that this has not been a problem for them. Integra indicated the reverse problem – service providers unnecessarily sending multiple LSRs. No other service provider expressed an opinion.

ACTION ITEM 030513-LNPAWG-02 IS CLOSED.

PIM Status Review

- PIM 80 – This PIM submitted by Verizon, seeks to address instances where ported/pooled NPAC database records currently contain LRNs that are in a LATA different from their associated ported/pooled telephone numbers (TNs).



PIM 80.doc

PIM 80 is closed. Neustar and the NAPM LLC are working to resolve this PIM. The 10-day final warning notification has been sent out. The SVs still having LATA mismatches will be deleted by COB May 13th. The NPAC is unable to unilaterally remove a pooled block assigned by the PA. The PA has been notified that the LLC has requested NPAC to delete the block.

- **Vonage Account Number PIM** – Vonage has withdrawn this PIM.



Vonage_acct number
PIM.doc

Change Management

NANC TBD - Working Copy - 01
Original Date: 4/11/13
Original: [unclear]

Architecture Planning Team Action Items

Action Item 050713-01: It has been recommended that the capability for service providers to manage their own NPA-NXX filters not be included in the XML interface. This will be approved or disapproved at the July 2013 meeting. The recommendation is based on the fact that Neustar has been unable to identify any instances where service providers have used this feature in the CMIP interface. Service providers are to determine whether they ever use the SOA or LSMS to set their own NPA-NXX filters. Vendors are to determine whether or not their systems currently support this capability.

Action Item 050713-02: Service providers are to be prepared to state their company positions on the **June 17, 2013, conference call** as to whether or not new service providers should be required to do turn up testing themselves (or through a surrogate) even though the same test plan will be used that the vendors used to do their testing. And if the vendor that does the vendor testing is also the surrogate for the service provider, does the test have to be repeated?

NANC Change Order 449 – Active/Active SOA Connection to NPAC – same SPID

John Nakamura reviewed the updates from NANC 449. The two additions were:

1. The “echo-back” of all data in an Object Creation Notification to both SOA A and SOA B in an Active/Active scenario. After much discussion, it was agreed to also include the other SP involved in the port for echo-back of all data (in the example discussed, this would be SOA A, SOA B, and SOA Z). This will be determined by a Service Provider tunable parameter (TRUE = get all data in echo-back, FALSE = get the same data that you get today). It was also discussed and agreed that the Attribute Value Change notification would also be included in an echo-back scenario (to ensure that both initial creates as well as subsequent modifies allowed the non-originating SOA to have all the data).
2. Adding an “Order ID” to the SV record in the NPAC. This would allow both SOA A and SOA B to have the order number that comes from the upstream ordering system. For the NPAC, this would be a pass-through field. Also discussed and decided is that this field would be sent to both the NSP and OSP. The field name should be more generic. It was agreed to call it “cross-reference”. The proposed field definition is 25 alphanumeric characters (including dashes and slashes).

John will update the document for this, and it will be reviewed at our next meeting.

John also reviewed a new change order from Comcast that mirrors Active/Active, but is for the XML Interface defined in NANC 372. After much discussion, it was agreed to just roll this functionality into NANC 449. As a result 449 will be Active/Active for both CMIP and XML. The new change order is no longer applicable.

Action Item 050713-06: A cross reference field has been requested as part of NANC 449 implementation. It was suggested that the field be limited to 25 characters. Service providers are to be prepared to state their company positions as to whether or not this is the correct size at the July 2013 meeting.

NANC Change Order 372 – SOA/LSMS Interface Protocol Alternatives (XML)

NANC 372, moved from Accepted category to the Awaiting SOW category.

 NANC 372 - SOA/LSMS Interface Protocol Alternatives (XML)

Originator Date: 11/15/2012

NANC Change Order 452 – NPAC Ethernet Connectivity

NANC 452, moved from Accepted category to the Awaiting SOW category.

 NANC 452 - NPAC Ethernet Connectivity

Originator Date: 11/20/2012



NPAC Ethernet
Connectivity Option 0

New Business

SPIDS No Longer in Use

Verizon (Deb Tucker) described a situation where a company has a SPID that they no longer use, but other companies port a number to that no longer used SPID. These issues are very hard to resolve. Verizon would like to see a profile setting that disallows use of that SPID. Verizon will submit a change order.

Inadvertent Use of Cause Code 50/51

Verizon (Deb Tucker) would like to have procedure that would allow removal of Conflict Code 50/51 based on a phone call to the old service provider. Verizon will submit a PIM if they decide to pursue this issue.

Excessive Maintenance Downtime

AT&T (Lonnie Keck) mentioned a situation where a service provider might be down for a week and won't accept port requests during that time. He asked if there is anything that prohibits excessive maintenance downtime. He will do more investigation and decide whether or not to bring this to the July meeting.

Pending Request to Migrate a Very Large Number of SV Records

There is a pending request for a SPID migration of 840,000 SV records. They are in 880 blocks. Neustar would like to know if service providers agree to allow this. Any service providers who need to check with their companies must respond to Steve Addicks by May 13. Discussion of regional TN thresholds will be on the July agenda. No response by May 13 assumes concurrence of this instance.

Class 2 VoIP Provider Definition

Class 2 VoIP providers will soon receive numbering resources. Steve Addicks would like to change the definition of SP Type 03 to "VoIP providers eligible to receive direct assignment of NANP resources." This would deal with the trial authorized by the FCC. Eventually, the classification in the NPAC would have to be changed. The LNPA Working Group agreed to this change.

Next LNPA WG Conference Call ... June 17, 2013

Next Meeting ... July 9 – 10, 2013: Location...Seattle, Washington ...

Hosted by T-Mobile