NANC – LNPA Working Group Problem/Issue Identification Document

**LNP Problem/Issue Identification and Description Form**

**Submittal Date** (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/26/2001 **PIM 017 v2**

**Company(s) Submitting Issue**: BellSouth **Contact(s): Name** Ron Steen **Contact Number** 205/977/0491

**Email Address** [ron.steen@bridge.bellsouth.com](mailto:ron.steen@bridge.bellsouth.com)

**(NOTE: Submitting Company(s) is to complete this section of the form along with Sections 1, 2 and 3.)**

1. **Problem/Issue Statement:** (Brief statement outlining the problem/issue.)

Some carriers offer both wireless and wireline services. With the integration of the wireless industry into Local Number Portability, there needs to be an efficient way for other carriers to determine whether a request to port a number comes from the wireline or the wireless division of that company.

1. **Problem/Issue Description:** (Provide detailed description of problem/issue.)

A. Examples & Impacts of Problem/Issue: Many companies have downstream provisioning systems that must to be able to identify whether a request to port comes from a wireline or wireless carrier. Data may be processed differently depending on which type of service is involved, and different tables or databases will be populated. The issue currently impacts individual company system/software development. With development underway, future changes may cause significant costs to individual companies. Both the WNPO and the LNPA Working Group have recognized the advisability of maintaining separate SPIDs in this situation as service provider profiles are defined by the SPID. Both committees have made recommendations that separate SPIDs be used.

B. Frequency of Occurrence:

C. NPAC Regions Impacted:

Canada Mid Atlantic Midwest Northeast Southeast Southwest Western

West Coast ALL\_**X**\_

D. Rationale why existing process is deficient: The recommendation that companies use separate SPIDs for the wireline and wireless portions of their business doesn’t go far enough. While most companies probably will use separate SPIDs, it is important for other companies to be able to have assurance as they develop there systems software.

E. Identify action taken in other committees / forums:

NANC – LNPA Working Group Problem/Issue Identification Document

F. Any other descriptive items:

1. **Suggested Resolution:**

The recommendation to use separate SPIDs for the wireline and wireless portions of their business should be made a requirement. There should be an edit at the NPAC (automated or manual) to require that separate SPIDs be maintained. This should be made a part of the new carrier certification process. If that is not practical, then the LNPA Working Group should document the requirement that wireless and wireline services cannot use the same SPID for porting activities.

1. **Final Resolution:**

PIM was closed with the recommendation that such service providers establish separate SPIDs in NPAC. This recommendation now appears on the NPAC wireless website home page and is part of the NPAC Methods and Procedures for establishing a SPID.

**LNPA WG:** (only) Final Resolution Date: 02/06/2002
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