LNP Problem/Issue Identification and Description Form

**Submittal Date** (mm/dd/yyyy): 05/26/2004 **PIM 038 v2**

**Company(s) Submitting Issue**: AT&T Wireless

**Contact(s): Name**: Stephen A. Sanchez

**Contact Number** 425/288/7051

**Email Address** Stephen.sanchez@attws.com

**(NOTE: Submitting Company(s) is to complete this section of the form along with Sections 1, 2 and 3.)**

1. **Problem/Issue Statement:** (Brief statement outlining the problem/issue.)

The current –x object (1k Pool Block) tunable of 5 business days between the Create and Activate is too long and acts as a constraint against service providers.

1. **Problem/Issue Description:** (Provide detailed description of problem/issue.)

A. Examples & Impacts of Problem/Issue:

Many service providers use the 1k pool block methodology (in addition to Number Pooling Activities) to accomplish Network Rehome, and Acquisition activities. Between the –x (pool block) object create date and the activate date there is a mandatory 5 business day tunable period. During this time, service providers can not conduct SV activity until the –x object is activated at the NPAC. Any activity will result in error transactions or “SOA NOT AUTHORIZED” 7502.

Conversely, there are times when a service provider is attempting to complete rehome activities and acquisition activities by using a –x object methodology. If a pendingSV has been created against the NPA-NXX-X range, the pool block can not be created until that SV has been cleared. There are times where pendingSV are constantly created against the NPA-NXX-X range. The 5 business day tunable in conjunction with the porting activity causes timeline slides for the service providers trying to conduct activity in that NPA-NXX-X range.

1. Frequency of Occurrence:

Any time a –x object (pool block) has been created.

With the introduction of National Number Portability, the frequency of occurrence will be higher. And more service providers may use the –x object methodology to conduct network rehome and acquisitions. ☺

1. NPAC Regions Impacted:

Canada\_\_ Mid Atlantic **X** Midwest **X**  Northeast **X** Southeast **X**  Southwest **X**  Western **X**

West Coast **X**  ALL

D. Rationale why existing process is deficient:

The NPAC does not enforce a 5 business day delay for conventional ports, and if the NPAC were to enforce a 5 business day delay it would do so only for those blocks that have not received a first port notification. A 5 business day period allows for increased errors as service providers are unable to conduct activities for pending –X objects.

E. Identify action taken in other committees / forums: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

F. Any other descriptive items: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. **Suggested Resolution:**

A short term fix to reduction of the –x object 5 business day tunable from 5 business days to 1 business day. Or a long term solution would be to remove the 5 business day delay completely.

1. **Final Resolution:**

This issue resulted in the creation and acceptance of a NANC Change Order. For further detail refer to the NANC Change Order(s) identified in the Related Documents field below.

**LNPA WG:** (only) Final Resolution Date: 3/07/2006

Item Number: 0038 v2 Related Documents: NANC 394

Issue Resolution Referred to: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Why Issue Referred: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_