LNP Problem/Issue Identification and Description Form

**Submittal Date** (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/11/08 **PIM**  **70 v2**

**Company(s) Submitting Issue**: T-Mobile and Sprint

**Contact(s): Name** Mohamed Samater and Sue Tiffany

**Contact Number** (206) 384-1669, (913)-315-6923

**Email Address** [Mohamed.Samater1@T-Mobile.com](mailto:Mohamed.Samater1@T-Mobile.com), [Sue.T.Tiffany@mail.sprint.com](mailto:Sue.T.Tiffany@mail.sprint.com)

**(NOTE: Submitting Company(s) is to complete this section of the form along with Sections 1, 2 and 3.)**

1. **Problem/Issue Statement:** (Brief statement outlining the problem/issue.)

After considerable industry discussion regarding intermodal ports, it was proposed that for wireless to wireline ports, the WICIS/ICP standard format would be utilized for the processing of the port requests. In essence, when sending a port request to a wireless carrier, the WICIS/ICP based format for a port must be sent.

In today’s version of the NANC flows, both slides 1 and 2 indicate the LSR-FOC process should be used for the processing of ALL intermodal ports (both wireless and wireline). This needs to be revisited and updated to reflect the industry decision.

1. **Problem/Issue Description:** (Provide detailed description of problem/issue.)

Per the current version of the NANC Flows, the LSR-FOC process is to be used when both carriers are NOT wireless (i.e. if one of the carriers is a wireline carrier, then the flows dictate that the LSR-FOC process should be used).

This is no longer accurately reflects the decision by the wireless carriers to only accept WICIS/ICP based requests for carriers wishing to port numbers away from them.

B. Frequency of Occurrence:

Today, if a wireline carrier (including VoIP carriers) are porting in numbers from wireless carriers, they are faxing LSR orders either directly to the wireless carrier or their vendor.

1. NPAC Regions Impacted:

Canada\_\_\_ Mid Atlantic X Midwest X Northeast X Southeast X Southwest X Western X

West Coast X ALL\_\_

1. Rationale why existing process is deficient:

Today’s NANC Flows do not reflect the decision by the industry’s wireless carriers to only accept port requests in the WICIS/ICP based format for port-out requests.

1. Identify action taken in other committees / forums

A formal agreement should be documented through the OBF’s Intermodal form

F. Any other descriptive items: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. **Suggested Resolution:**

Slide 1 of the NANC Flows should show 2 alternative paths for intermodal ports

(1) Wireless to Wireline porting

(2) Wireline to Wireless porting

These paths should reflect the respective formats for which the port requests should be sent.

1. **Final Resolution:**

PIM 70 was subsequently withdrawn by the submitters on the February 10, 2009 LNPA WG conference call.

**LNPA WG:** (only) Final Resolution Date: 2/10/09

Item Number: PIM 70 v2 Related Documents:

Issue Resolution Referred to: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Why Issue Referred: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_