LNPA Transition Oversight Sub-Committee

Final Meeting Notes

**March 19, 2018**

##### Conference Call

##### Attendance

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lisa Marie Maxson | 10X People | Bonnie Johnson | Minnesota Dept of Commerce |
| Deborah Anstead | Altice | Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber |
| Kim Isaacs | Allstream | Ed Barker | Neustar |
| David Alread | AT&T | Pamela Connell | Neustar |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T | Dave Garner | Neustar |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T | Paul LaGattuta | Neustar |
| Mark Bilton-Smith | ATL Communications | John Nakamura | Neustar |
| Sarah Delphey | Bandwidth | Meenakshi Parthasarathy | Neustar |
| Lisa Jill Freeman | Bandwidth | Anand Rathi | Neustar |
| Anna Kafka | Bandwidth | Bill Reidway | Neustar |
| Connie Stufflebeem | BKD | Jim Rooks | Neustar |
| Nancy Cornwell | Cellcom | Lavinia Rotaru | Neustar |
| Phil Linse | CenturyLink | Gary Sacra | Neustar |
| Glenn Clepper | Charter | Mubeen Saifullah | Neustar |
| Kathy Troughton | Charter | Mary Retka | SOMOS |
| Myra Morales | Claro | Suzanne Addington | Sprint |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast | Bob Bruce | Syniverse |
| Randee Ryan | Comcast | Deanna Benson | T3 Communications |
| Leslie Miklos | Consolidated | Luke Sessions | T-Mobile |
| Sheri Pressler | Frontier | Kevin Batista | TOM |
| Doug Babcock | iconectiv | Greg Chiasson | TOM |
| Michael Doherty | iconectiv | Bill Reilly | TOM |
| John Malyar | iconectiv | Dawn Lawrence | Verizon |
| Paul Mazouat | iconectiv | Jason Lee | Verizon |
| George Tsacnaris | iconectiv | Kathy Rogers | Verizon Wireless |
| Pat White | iconectiv | Deb Tucker | Verizon |
| Carolee Hall | Idaho PUC | Anne Brames | Windstream |
| Carolee Hall | Idaho PUC | Lynn Denton | Windstream |
| Bridget Alexander White | JSI | Amy Freund | YMAX |
| Jerry James | LNP Alliance |  |  |
| Dave Malfara | LNP Alliance |  |  |

**Action Item Discussion**

* **Action Item 03062018-05:** MUMP related action item for SPs and Vendors to look at the impact to their systems for having two different versions of the MUMP forms and the impact on local system or provider’s automated processes. Determine if processes have been already changed and what additional impacts might there be to having a different form.
  + There will be no impact on the iconectiv local system. Comcast, Sprint, ATT, Verizon, and Syniverse all stated that there would be no impact to them. Charter deferred to their SOA vendor, Neustar.
  + Mubeen Saifullah Neustar explained that if there is an automation component to processing MUMP forms, changes will be necessary to allow for two different forms.
  + Doug Babcock, iconectiv local system vendor, explained that existing systems with automated MUMP forms may support both formats and that the answer is not just yes or no. The process was originally a manual process with workbooks manually populated.
  + Neustar provided the attached written response.

****

* **Action Item 03062018-06:** SPs and Vendors to determine impact assessment of this situation and the impact of not having Hold/Replay available for CMIP systems.
  + iconectiv was not aware of the fact that the NPAC supported a CMIP hold/replay function. This also was the response for NetNumber, Verizon, Syniverse, and ATT.
  + A question was raised about why there is such an issue with LSMSs not being up and available. After discussion, it was clarified that it isn’t the LSMS software, but network connections that cause most of the issues. There is a dashboard available to check to see if LSMS systems are active or down.
* **Action Item 03062018-07:** iconectiv to explain how inbound processes are being handled while a system is on hold and to provide the behavior of inbound messages in general. iconectiv’s response to this action item was that the messages are ignored – not rejected – and they are just not processed. The current behavior will be documented in PIM 108 updates.

**Change Management and PIM Review**

* Minor updates were made to PIM 106 - BDD File SSN Field and PIM 107 – MUMP File Layouts.
* Two new PIMs were reviewed – PIM 108 – Hold/Replay Long Duration and PIM TBD – CMIP only Hold/Replay.
  + The PIM TBD was accepted and assigned PIM # 109.
  + Discussion took place to help participants gain an understanding of how the iconectiv NPAC will handle Hold/Replay in the near term while the PIMs are being worked. iconectiv will handle the situation operationally and will provide more details in PIM 108 at a future meeting. A suggestion was made for contributions to be provided to enhance the PIM where participants felt the PIM needed additional detail.

**New Business**

Participants had no objections to having iconectiv post the LNPA TOSC contact list on the iconectiv portal with the other LNPA TOSC documents.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00 AM ET.

***Next Full Meeting …April 24 - 25, 2018: Overland Park, KS hosted by Sprint***