# MARCH 5, 2019 LNPA TRANSITION OVERSIGHT SUB-COMMITTEE ACTION ITEMS ASSIGNED:

**NOTE: FOR THE FOLLOWING ACTION ITEMS THIS NUMBERING SCHEME APPLIES:**

* **FIRST TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE MONTH OF THE LNPA TOSC MEETING/CALL**
* **SECOND TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE DAY OF THE LNPA TOSC MEETING/CALL**
* **THIRD FOUR DIGITS DESIGNATE THE YEAR OF THE LNPA TOSC MEETING/CALL**
* **LAST TWO DIGITS DESIGNATE THE ACTION ITEM NUMBER**

**NEW ACTION ITEMS OPENED DURING THE MARCH 5-6, 2019 LNPA TOSC MEETING:**

**03052019-01–** CMA to apply changes to the various interface documents and distribute for the April TOSC mtg.

**03052019-02–** LNPA TOSC Chair to reissue the existing Action Item and reinforce the need for vendors to come prepared to discuss this issue in more detail during the April meeting.

**03052019-03**– Local Vendors to verify removal of a numeric identifier of the mnemonics. Sequential numbering will go from 538 to 540 and the LNPA needs to understand if any vendors have a dependency on sequential numbering of the Mnemonics file.

**03052019-04-** iconectiv to draft a NANC Change Order and Best Practice to clarify retry intervals.

**03052019-05-** iconectiv to draft a NANC Change Order to address the Time Based Recovery limits.

**03052019-06-** CMA to add 2 new fields (Final Resolution and Related Documents) to all Transition related PIMs and work with the PIM originators on “Final Resolution” wording for the various documents as well as populating the “Related Documents” field.

**03052019-07 –** Service Providers and Vendors to review Chapters 13, 14, and 15 of the Test documents for the April TOSC meeting.

**03052019-08 -** The LNPA was requested to investigate what analysis can be shared with the industry regarding LSMS availability metrics.

# ACTION ITEMS REMAINING OPEN FROM PREVIOUS LNPA TOSC MEETINGS:

**Local Vendor and Service Provider items:**

**12052018-02:**  Regarding Change Order 403 – Only allow Recovery Messages to be sent during Recovery – The impacts of this Change Order need to be understood given it has been recently identified that some local Vendor(s) send recovery requests messages after recovery complete notification has been issued. Service Providers are to discuss this situation with their SOA and LSMS vendors to determine the impact of moving forward with this change for the January LNPA TOSC meeting.  **Open**

**LNPA TOSC Chair Action Item:**

**01092018-01:** Deb Tucker to discuss with the FCC DFO requirements regarding proper reference of this NANC Sub-Committee and to see if use of “LNPA WG” may continue. **Open**

**COMPLETED ACTION ITEMS FROM THE JANUARY 8-9, 2019 and FEBRUARY 6, 2019 LNPA TOSC MEETINGS:**

**01082019-01:** iconectiv LNPA to create a Change Order to add a requirement to include Disconnect Pending items to allow the Old SPID to be deleted. This will be a modification of language in NANC 453. **Closed**

**01082019-02:** Vendors to provide feedback on ASN.1 Network Notification Recovery Reply structure – LSMS notification choice. **Closed**

**01082019-04:** General Action Item for vendors to review all of the GDMO, ASN.1, XIS, XSD, and XML documents and provide feedback. **Closed**

**02062019-01:** Vendors to provide feedback on the CHC2 structure in the ASN.1 Network Download Criteria section. A decision needs to be made on the use of a choice of choices vs. just a choice. A single Choice will be used. **Closed**

**02062019-02:** iconectiv LNPA has gathered local vendor feedback on key exchange and acknowledgement files and will provide a formal proposal to handle the situation at the March 5-6, 2019 LNPA TOSC meeting. **Closed**

**02062019-03:** iconectiv LNPA to develop a PIM tracking mechanism for review during the March 5-6, 2019 LNPA TOSC meeting. **Closed**

**09122017-05:** Change Order 504 modifications to address PIM 102 (updated verbiage)

Current NPAC SMS Specifications on Recovery of SVs that were modified are not clear on the data that is recovered.  Clarity is needed so that CMIP LSMS Users can successfully recover SVs that were modified when the LSMS was down.

Upon evaluation by the industry, it became apparent that only one LSMS had a dependency on the different interpretation.  Given the limited value by only one LSMS taking advantage of that implementation and the increased risk to the industry to modify the new NPAC implementation and recertify all of the LSMS’, the industry decided to not support the CO during transition and to request the one LSMS to remove its dependency.

Given the potential value if all LSMS’ implemented the behavior, the industry determined this would be a potential future discussion item for the industry post transition. **Closed**

**11062018-03:** local vendors to provide information on what they do today. CMIP Local System Vendors are to identify how they populate this first field (NPAC Customer ID) in the key exchange and acknowledgement files they send to NPAC and receive from NPAC. **Closed**

**11062018-04:** Local System vendors to provide feedback on whether PIM 102 (Change Order 504) is still needed and if there is value in getting the object information during the modify (related to AI 09122017-05). **Closed**

**11062018-07:** Due to the abbreviated review of the documents, all Vendors & SPs are asked to review and provide comments (if needed) on the redlined testing documents. **Closed**