LNPA WORKING GROUP

October 2008 Conference Call

Final Minutes

**TUESDAY 10/14/08**

Tuesday, 10/14/08, Conference Call Attendance:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** | | |
| Tina Plaisance | Alltel | Charles Ryburn | | NeuStar |
| Ron Steen | AT&T | Shannon Sevigny | | NeuStar Pooling |
| Mark Lancaster | AT&T | Mubeen Saifullah | | NeuStar Clearinghouse |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | Linda Peterman | | One Communications |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Jan Doell | | Qwest |
| Barbara Hjelmaa | Bright House | Mary Retka | | Qwest |
| Cindy Sheehan | Comcast | Matt Kohly | | Socket Telecom |
| Nancy Sanders | Comcast | Susan Tiffany | | Sprint Nextel |
| Chris Brown | Cox | Carol Frike | | Sprint Nextel |
| Jennifer Hutton | Cox | Michael Klappa | | Sprint Nextel |
| Vicki Goth | Embarq | Raghav Rao | | Tekelec |
| Greg Council | Evolving Systems | Mark Greene | | Tekelec |
| Len Sampson | Fairpoint | Adam Newman | | Telcordia |
| Therese Mooney | Global Crossing | Pat White | | Telcordia |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW | Stacy Hannah | | Time Warner Cable |
| Cheryl O’Brien | Illinois Valley Cellular | Mohamed Samater | | T-Mobile |
| Angie Mackey | JSI Tel | Paula Jordan | | T-Mobile |
| Karen Hoffman | JSI Tel | Amanda Molina | | Townes Telecom |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber | Chipp Nelson | | VeriSign |
| Dave Garner | NeuStar | Gary Sacra | | Verizon |
| John Nakamura | NeuStar | Jason Lee | | Verizon |
| Paul LaGattuta | NeuStar | Sara Hooker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Jim Rooks | NeuStar | Kathy Rogers | | Verizon Wireless |
| Stephen Addicks | NeuStar | Deb Tucker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Marcel Champagne | NeuStar | Tom Zablocki | | Vonage |
|  |  |  | |  |

2008 Meeting and Call Schedule/Hosts/Locations:

Following is the meeting schedule for the 2008 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MONTH/**  **DATE**  **(2008)** | NANC | LNPA WG | HOST | LOCATION |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| January | TBD | 8th-9th | Telcordia | Scottsdale, Arizona |
| February | 22nd | No meeting.  2/5/08 call from 1pm to 5pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| March | TBD | 11th-12th | Comcast | Denver, Colorado |
| April | TBD | No meeting.  4/8/08 call if necessary. |  |  |
| May | TBD | 6th-7th | Sprint Nextel | Overland Park, Kansas |
| June | TBD | No meeting.  6/9/08 call from 11am to 3pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| July | TBD | 15th-16th | NeuStar | Boston, Massachusetts |
| August | TBD | No meeting.  No call scheduled for 8/12/08. |  |  |
| September | TBD | 9th-10th | Canadian Consortium | Ottawa, Canada |
| October | TBD | No meeting.  10/14/08 call from 11am to 3pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| November | TBD | 11th-12th | T-Mobile | Irvine, California |
| December | TBD | No meeting.  12/16/08 call if necessary |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Continuing evaluation during 2008 will determine if interim conference calls are needed or if the decision to meet face-to-face every other month should be revisited.

2009 Meeting and Call Schedule/Hosts/Locations:

Following is the current schedule for the 2009 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MONTH/**  **DATE**  **(2009)** | NANC | LNPA WG | HOST | LOCATION |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| January | TBD | 6th-7th | Telcordia | Arizona or Florida |
| February | TBD | No meeting.  2/10/2009 call if necessary |  |  |
| March | TBD | 10th-11th | Comcast | Denver, Colorado |
| April | TBD | No meeting.  4/14/2009 call if necessary |  |  |
| May | TBD | 12th-13th | Sprint Nextel | Overland Park, Kansas |
| June | TBD | No meeting.  6/9/2009 call if necessary |  |  |
| July | TBD | 14th-15th | NeuStar | TBD |
| August | TBD | No meeting.  8/11/2009 call if necessary |  |  |
| September | TBD | 15th-16th | Verizon | Baltimore, Maryland |
| October | TBD | No meeting.  10/6/2009 call if necessary |  |  |
| November | TBD | 10th-11th | Canadian Consortium | TBD |
| December | TBD | No meeting.  12/8/2009 call if necessary |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Continuing evaluation during 2009 will determine if interim conference calls are needed or if the decision to meet face-to-face every other month should be revisited.

**CONFERENCE CALL MINUTES:**

Attached are the Action Items assigned on the October 14, 2008 LNPA WG conference call. Please note that these Action Items are in addition to the ones assigned at the September 2008 LNPA WG meeting. Both sets of Action Items will be addressed at the November 2008 meeting.

****

NOTE: ALL ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE “OCTOBER 2008 LNPA ACTION ITEMS” FILE ATTACHED ABOVE.

Readout of NANC 436 Implementation – All:

 

* NeuStar reported that NANC 436 was installed in all seven regional NPACs during the October 5th Sunday maintenance window and has been available for use since that point. A notice has been sent out over the Cross-Regional distribution explaining its use. With the installation of NANC 436, the following Optional Data parameters have been implemented at both the pooled 1K block level and at the individual SV level:
  + Alt-End User Location Value,
  + Alt-End User Location Type,
  + Alt-Billing ID.
* It was asked if anyone was using NANC 436 yet. NeuStar confirmed that the Optional Data parameters have been set at the block level and broadcasts have taken place.
* A Local System Vendor raised a concern about the new parameters and changes to the XML schema. It was explained that service providers can indicate in the NPAC profile that they do not support these Optional Data parameters in their local systems and, therefore, NANC 436 has no impact on local systems that do not wish to receive these parameters in NPAC downloads.

Review and Discussion of PIM 68 – All:



* Paula Jordan, LNPA WG Co-Chair, led the group in the discussion of PIM 68, which was introduced by AT&T Mobility. PIM 68 seeks to address the recent events that led to a rapid increase in the number of records in the NPAC, which exceeded the planned forecasted record exhaust of some carriers. The PIM has been revised since it was first introduced at the September 2008 LNPA WG meeting. PIM 68 was accepted by the group.
* Discussion then ensued on why providers would port individual TNs out of pooled 1K blocks for migrations. One reason cited is that they have to move customers one at a time for whatever reason. For discussion at the November 2008 LNPA WG meeting, Service Providers are to identify reasons why they may need to intra-SP port individual TNs from within a pooled 1K block during a migration project. Why is it necessary to intra-SP port any TN out of a 1K block? Why is it necessary to intra-SP port all of the pooled numbers within a 1K block? Reasons cited will be used to tee up discussion in order to identify any potential Best Practices on large projects such as technology and network migrations.
* With respect to Suggested Resolution C) in the attached PIM 68, which states, “Discussions should be initiated in the LNPA WG to determine and define industry use(s) of the Billing ID, End User Location Value, End User Location Type fields, and the Alt-Billing ID, Alt-End User Location Value, or Alt-End User Location Type parameters.”, a service provider stated that at some point he would like to have a discussion regarding at what point in time carriers have a right to know what other carriers are using this resource for.

To the extent that they can, Service Providers are to come prepared at the November 2008 LNPA WG meeting to provide any of their transitional or permanent uses for End User Location Value (EULV), End User Location Type (EULT), and Billing ID fields, and the altEnd User Location Value, altEnd User Location Type, and altBilling ID parameters in the Optional Data field if they have used them, either currently or in the past, in order to facilitate discussion of possible defined uses of these fields/parameters.

Also to the extent that they can, Service Providers are to come prepared at the

November 2008 LNPA WG meeting to **provide any suggested uses, either transitional or permanent**, for the End User Location Value (EULV), End User Location Type (EULT), and Billing ID fields, and the altEnd User Location Value, altEnd User Location Type, and altBilling ID parameters in the Optional Data field, in order to facilitate discussion of possible defined uses of these fields/parameters.

For discussion at the November 2008 LNPA WG meeting, Service Providers are to come prepared to discuss whether or not the End User Location Value (EULV), End User Location Type (EULT), and Billing ID fields, and the altEnd User Location Value, altEnd User Location Type, and altBilling ID parameters in the Optional Data field are appropriate for continued use by service providers.

Upon receipt of the e-mailed Action Items referenced above from Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, NeuStar will forward the e-mail to the Cross-Regional distribution list.

* A service provider cautioned that, in deciding the future of these field/parameters, the LNPA WG should better understand how the future use fields have been used by providers over time. Some providers may have used these fields for transitional type purposes, e.g., migrations, while other providers may have used the fields for more permanent purposes and may continue to use them today.
* It was pointed out that if you do not have the new parameters turned on in your NPAC profile, you will not see what is being placed in these fields. It was stated that you could do it via Port PS if you know what TNs to query on.
* Two service providers stated that they have concerns about a provider having to ask permission for populating fields in the NPAC and possible competitive advantage ramifications.
* The discussion on PIM 68 will continue at the November 2008 LNPA WG meeting.

New Business – All:

* The LNPA WG Co-Chairs will notify the Co-Chairs of the NAPM LLC that the scheduled July 2009 meeting date for the LNPA WG currently conflicts with the tentative meeting date for the July 2009 NAPM LLC meeting.

NOTE: Subsequent to the October 14, 2008 LNPA WG conference call, the NAPM LLC tentatively set its meeting date for their July 2009 meeting to July 29-30, which will not conflict with the July 14-15, 2009 LNPA WG meeting.

* LNPA WG Participants are to e-mail Paula Jordan, LNPA WG Co-Chair, at

[paula.jordan@t-mobile.com](mailto:paula.jordan@t-mobile.com), and let her know if they will be attending the November 11-12, 2008 LNPA WG meeting in Irvine, California, in person.

* Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will place an item on the agenda of the November 2008 LNPA WG meeting for determination of the 2009 SPID Migration blackout schedule.
* Amanda Molina, Townes Telecom, stated that she is attempting to identify industry documentation that defines a complex port. It was stated that FCC Order 07-188 and the 3rd Report on Wireless Wireline Integration provide nearly identical definitions on a simple port. Lonnie Keck, AT&T Mobility, will ascertain if Section 5.2.1.3 of the WICIS document, which addresses complex ports, can be included in the minutes of the October 14, 2008 LNPA WG conference call. Lonnie will contact Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, with the answer.

NOTE: Subsequent to the October 14, 2008 LNPA WG conference call, Lonnie Keck provided the attached Section 5.2.1.3 from the current WICIS v4.0.0  on Complex Ports.  Lonnie also stated that the Wireless Committee has not gained consensus for a definition of “Complex Ports”, nor did the former CTIA “ICP Task Force”, which documented this section in v1.0.0. WICIS only attempts to denote some situations that would generally be considered complex ports. It should be noted by all that WICIS is protected under copyright rules and is published by ATIS/OBF and they request that this notation accompany its use/reference by the LNPA WG in these minutes.



Also attached are the following documents which reference the definition of a simple port:

1. 3rd Report on Wireless Wireline Integration (reference page 8)



1. FCC Order 07-188 (reference Footnote 153 on page 26)



* Len Sampson, Fairpoint, requested consideration to perform a SPID migration on 2/1/2009, which will likely be a scheduled SPID migration blackout date due to being the 1st Sunday of the month of February. Regarding Fairpoint’s request to perform a SPID migration during the February 1, 2009 extended Sunday maintenance window, which typically would be a blackout date due to being the 1st Sunday of the month, Service Providers are to come to the November 2008 LNPA WG meeting prepared to:

1. Determine whether or not they can approve the request, and
2. If they can approve the request, determine if another blackout date should be designated in February 2009 in addition to Presidents’ Day weekend (Sunday, February 15, 2009).

***Next LNPA WG Meeting …******November 11-12, 2008, Irvine, California – Hosted by***

***T-Mobile***