LNPA WORKING GROUP

WICIS Sub-team

May 19, 2009 Meeting Minutes

Meeting Attendance:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Company** |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility |
| Nancy Sanders | Comcast |
| Greg Council | Evolving Systems |
| Bonnie Johnson | Integra Telecom |
| Stephanie Prull | Integra Telecom |
| John Nakamura | NeuStar |
| Bill Reidway | NeuStar |
| Mubeen Saifullah | NeuStar |
| Rosemary Emmer | Sprint Nextel |
| Mohamed Samater | T-Mobile |
| Deb Tucker | Verizon Wireless |

Deb Tucker opened the meeting by giving an overview of the task at hand.

The primary goal of this sub-team is to work through the pros and cons of using the WICIS process as a solution to a one day wireline to wireline and intermodal porting interval. The group is tasked with determining what it would take to use WICIS vs LSR or some other process.

There was some discussion related to translating WICIS information into LSRs and participants mentioned that this translation process would be fine if the provider was doing this translation work internally. The Carrier to Carrier piece would be WICIS only.

Can WICIS in it’s current state be used?

1. WICIS provides standardization of sending and receiving material. All implementations using WICIS are the same.
2. End User Validations are done on the backend systems. Numerous fields are sent and must be populated with data, but only the 4 fields named in FCC Order 07-188 are expected to be used to verify the end user information in order to generate a confirmation. These fields are TN, Account Number, Zip Code and Password/Pin (if applicable).
3. Proposal to use WICIS only for simple wireline ports and not complex situations such as handling DSL and feature components. Currently, WICIS is used for both simple and complex wireless ports.

There was a brief mention about shortening the amount of fields used in WICIS. It was also noted that if the account number is not on the CSR, then providers cannot provide that information. The customers don’t know their account numbers.

Rosemary Emmer mentioned that as a result of conversations she has had with the FCC and NANC Chairman Koutsky, it looks like the preferred approach is an automated solution for simple porting. Hard work at coming up with an automated approach will provide the best view to the FCC and Chairman Koutsky.

Discussion items:

Is there an opportunity to augment WICIS? If so, what are the additional fields needed to also use this format for wireline porting?

For simple wireline to wireline porting, WICIS could be used, but radical changes would be required.

WICIS requirements:

1. XML support requirement
2. Support a super subset of data fields being used today
3. For simple ports, abandon the LSR/SPSR and take an outside view of the transaction
4. Modification of GUIs/receiving systems
5. Modification of backend systems
6. Provisioning system updates
7. Directory listing element

It was also mentioned that if the LSR is not used, there must be a way for carriers to keep records of the transactions.

A compromise must be found to bring needed fields for wireline port processing to the wireless format rather than requiring wireline carriers to fully adopt the WICIS without changes being made to it.

The question to discuss at the next meeting is:

What is the most logical compromise?

***Next Sub-team Meeting …******May 26, 2009, 1:00 PM – 2:00 PM ET***
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