**NPIF – *Giddy Up Sub Team***

Monday, May 22, 2023 2:00 PM – 3:00 PM (Eastern Time Zone)

**Chairperson:**

*Cheryl Fullerton (Sinch)*

**Meeting Attendance** *– – 11 Participants*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Name** | **Company** |  | **Name** | **Company** |
|  | Lisa Marie Maxson | 10xPeople |  | Krishnan Shanmugavel | iconectiv |
| X | John Nakamura | 10xPeople | X | Doug Babcock | iconectiv |
|  | Sara Cleland | ATL |  | Ken Bade | Lumen |
|  | Shawyna Hanes | AT&T |  | Philip Linse | CenturyLink |
| X | Renee Dillon | AT&T |  | Brad Smeal | Lumen |
|  | Teresa Patton | AT&T |  | Jim Kientz | Neustar |
|  | Larry Turner | AT&T |  | Sreetal Brahmadevaiah | Neustar |
|  | Sheri Pressler | Frontier | X | Steve Brock | Oracle |
| X | Cheryl Fullerton | Sinch Voice |  | Holly Nagel | Powernet |
|  | Donna LaFontaine | Sinch Voice |  | Bob Bruce | Syniverse |
| X | Renee Berkowitz | iconectiv |  | Tessa Whiteside | Telnyx |
| X | Michael Doherty | iconectiv |  | Sarah Halko | Telnyx |
| X | Darold Hemphill | iconectiv |  | Bale Pathman | Verizon |
| X | Steve Koch | iconectiv | X | Deb Tucker | Verizon |
|  | Matt Timmerman | iconectiv |  | Kathy Rogers | Dish Wireless |
|  | David E Johnson | Syniverse |  | Margie Mersman | TCA |
|  | Kevin Green | SOMOS |  | Zia Shafaq | Verizon Wireless |
|  | Allyson Blevins | Sinch |  | Erla Erlingsdottir | Freeconferencecall.com? |
|  | Jose Silva | Brightspeed |  | Tami Zwicky | Cellcom.com?? |
|  | John Doyle | Syniverse |  | Rosemary Leist | T-Mobile |
|  | Johanny Jiminez | Syniverse |  | Kim Isaacs | Allstream |
|  | Cliff Woodbury | NNTC? | X | 16154783548 | Unknown |

**PIM 136 – LSMS Performance**

**Requirements that need to be updated or addressed.**

**Summary of GOAL: Closure on Proposed TPS Modification from 7 TPS to 11 TPS**

**Summary of Status of Requirements:**

1. **TN Modification – Consensus Reached to Modify Rate to 11 TPS**
2. **Range Requests – Consensus Reached to Support Option B**
3. **SOA to LSMS Relationship – Consensus Reached to Support Option A**
4. Reach consensus on how any changes to the NPAC transaction requirements apply to SPIDs involved in Primary/Secondary/Delegate SPID relationships for SOA systems all requirements – ***Pending consensus***.
   * **The group is still discussing these options:**

* Service Bureau / Primary SPID and its Secondary SPIDs
  + Option A: Clarify requirement wording to state that treatment of XML Primary SPIDs is identical to CMIP Primary SPIDs, as currently defined.
  + Option B: Remove per-SOA transaction rate requirement; only aggregate SOA requirement would remain.
* Delegate SPIDs
  + Option A: Leave CO 559 changes in place to limit quantity of delegates that can be used by a single Service Provider SPID but make no further changes.
  + Option B: Leave CO 559 changes in place but remove per-SOA transaction rate requirement; only aggregate SOA requirement would remain.
  + Notifications: GUST should be certain to account for notifications in overall transaction requirement numbers, including frequency with which notification suppression is utilized

5/22/2023 Meeting Discussion:

* + - Re-reviewed the issues behind reaching consensus and a go forward plan for the remaining outstanding items. Discussed a possible third option.
    - Discussed specific situations where the number of requests being sent could potentially create a situation where flow control is initiated and subsequently where local systems may have issues keeping up.
      * **Specific concerns voiced regarding the potential or possibility of one entity submitting in all regions at max TPS.**
      * **Multiple SPIDs submitting in all regions at max TPS.**
      * **Grantors with multiple delegates submitting concurrently.**
    - Leaving the requirements as is could create a situation where multiple jobs can be initiated which would exceed the 11 TPS if undefined. The group would like to provide definition for this but at this time still cannot come up with a functional method as how we would calculate and limit these.
    - **The group was unable to come to a resolution regarding how any changes to the NPAC transaction requirements apply to SPIDs involved in Primary/Secondary/Delegate SPID relationships for SOA systems. We have agreed to propose this to the NPIF at the June meeting for a larger group discussion.**

**Action Items Updates/Status Review**

* **Final review of the summary for NPIF**

**Next Meeting: Monday, June 05, 2023, 4:00-5:00**