**NPIF – *Giddy Up Sub Team***

Monday, June 5, 2023 2:00 PM – 3:00 PM (Eastern Time Zone)

**Chairperson:**

*Cheryl Fullerton (Sinch)*

**Meeting Attendance** *– – 11 Participants*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Name** | **Company** |  | **Name** | **Company** |
|  | Lisa Marie Maxson | 10xPeople |  | Krishnan Shanmugavel | iconectiv |
| X | John Nakamura | 10xPeople | X | Doug Babcock | iconectiv |
|  | Sara Cleland | ATL |  | Ken Bade | Lumen |
| X | Shawyna Hanes | AT&T |  | Philip Linse | CenturyLink |
| X | Renee Dillon | AT&T |  | Brad Smeal | Lumen |
|  | Teresa Patton | AT&T | X | Jim Kientz | Neustar |
|  | Larry Turner | AT&T |  | Sreetal Brahmadevaiah | Neustar |
|  | Sheri Pressler | Frontier |  | Steve Brock | Oracle |
| X | Cheryl Fullerton | Sinch Voice |  | Holly Nagel | Powernet |
|  | Donna LaFontaine | Sinch Voice |  | Bob Bruce | Syniverse |
| X | Renee Berkowitz | iconectiv |  | Tessa Whiteside | Telnyx |
| X | Michael Doherty | iconectiv |  | Sarah Halko | Telnyx |
| X | Darold Hemphill | iconectiv |  | Bale Pathman | Verizon |
| X | Steve Koch | iconectiv |  | Deb Tucker | Verizon |
| X | Matt Timmerman | iconectiv |  | Kathy Rogers | Dish Wireless |
|  | David E Johnson | Syniverse |  | Margie Mersman | TCA |
|  | Kevin Green | SOMOS |  | Zia Shafaq | Verizon Wireless |
|  | Allyson Blevins | Sinch |  | Erla Erlingsdottir | Freeconferencecall.com? |
|  | Jose Silva | Brightspeed |  | Tami Zwicky | Cellcom.com?? |
|  | John Doyle | Syniverse |  | Rosemary Leist | T-Mobile |
|  | Johanny Jiminez | Syniverse |  | Kim Isaacs | Allstream |
|  | Cliff Woodbury | NNTC? |  | Unknown | 16154783548 |

**PIM 136 – LSMS Performance**

**Requirements that need to be updated or addressed.**

**Summary of GOAL: Closure on Proposed TPS Modification from 7 TPS to 11 TPS**

**Summary of Status of Requirements:**

1. **TN Modification – Consensus Reached to Modify Rate to 11 TPS**
2. **Range Requests – Consensus Reached to Support Option B**
3. **SOA to LSMS Relationship – Consensus Reached to Support Option A**
4. Reach consensus on how any changes to the NPAC transaction requirements apply to SPIDs involved in Primary/Secondary/Delegate SPID relationships for SOA systems all requirements – Pending consensus.
   * **The group is still discussing these options:**

* Service Bureau / Primary SPID and its Secondary SPIDs
  + Option A: Clarify requirement wording to state that treatment of XML Primary SPIDs is identical to CMIP Primary SPIDs, as currently defined.
  + Option B: Remove per-SOA transaction rate requirement; only aggregate SOA requirement would remain.
  + Option C: Treat this as a “per network SPID” transaction, versus XML or CMIP transaction. (SPID used on the SV).
* Delegate SPIDs
  + Option A: Leave CO 559 changes in place to limit quantity of delegates that can be used by a single Service Provider SPID but make no further changes.
  + Option B: Leave CO 559 changes in place but remove per-SOA transaction rate requirement; only aggregate SOA requirement would remain.
  + Option C: Treat this as a “per network SPID” transaction, versus XML or CMIP transaction. (SPID used on the SV which would be the grantor SPID).
    - Notifications: Must take into to account for notifications in overall transaction requirement numbers, including frequency with which notification suppression is utilized. The grantor (network SPID) would need to monitor how the notifications are managed and suppressed.

6/05/2023 Meeting Discussion:

* + - Reviewed the documentation for the readout to the NPIF & agreed it needed to be simplified for NPIF for clarity and speed.
    - Agreed that the focus is to find a way to limit the possibility of one requestor flooding the ecosystem with transactions by exploiting the Primary/Secondary/Delegate relationships to exceed 11 TPS.
    - Agreed to present our request at the next NPIF meeting and requests specific assistance to classify and calculate transactions for Primary/Secondary and Delegate SPIDs, the impact on the local systems, and the impact on the ecosystem.
    - Leaving the requirements as is could create a situation where multiple jobs can be initiated which would exceed the 11 TPS if undefined. The group would like to provide definition for this but at this time still cannot come up with a functional method as how we would calculate and limit these.
    - **The group was still unable to come to a resolution regarding how any changes to the NPAC transaction requirements apply to SPIDs involved in Primary/Secondary/Delegate SPID relationships for SOA systems. We have agreed to propose this to the NPIF at the June meeting for a larger group discussion.**

**Action Items Updates/Status Review**

Readout at NPIF June 7,2023 meeting

**Next Meeting: Monday, July 30, 2023, 4:00-5:00**