***LNP – WG Sub-Committee***

***DEFINITION OF A SIMPLE PORT***

***August 7, 2009***

***Chair – Susan Tiffany***

| **DEFINING A SIMPLE PORT SUB-COMMITTEE** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **COMMITTEE MEMBER** | **COMPANY** | **ATTENDANCE** |
| Anna Miller | T-Mobile | X |
| Anthony Hansel | Covad |  |
| Beth O'Donnell | Cox Communication |  |
| Bonnie Johnson | Integra Telecom | X |
| Brad Lerner | Cavalier Telecom |  |
| Carolyn Brown | Qwest | X |
| Cindy Sheehan | Comcast | X |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW |  |
| Cynthia Williamson | Cox Communication |  |
| Dawn Howard | Cox Communication |  |
| Dawn Lawrence | XO | X |
| Deb Tucker | VZ Wireless | X |
| Dennis Rose | TSTCI |  |
| Gary Sacra | Verizon | X |
| Jan Doell | Qwest | X |
| Jim Rooks | NeuStar |  |
| John Nakamura | NeuStar | X |
| Lavinia Rotaru | Sprint | X |
| Linda Birchem | FairPoint |  |
| Linda Peterman | One Communication | X |
| Lonnie Keck | ATT Wireless | X |
| Loriann Burke | XO | X |
| Mark Lancaster | ATT |  |
| Mary Conquest | Nuvox | X |
| Matt Kohly | Sockett Communication | X |
| Paul LaGattuta | NeuStar | X |
| Peggy Rubino | Paetec |  |
| Ron Steen | ATT | X |
| Shelly Pedersen | TWtelecom |  |
| Steve Addicks | NeuStar |  |
| Sue Tiffany | Sprint/Nextel | X |
| Tiki Gaugler | XO |  |
| Tonya Woods | Centurylink |  |
| Tracy Guidotti | ATT |  |
| Vicki Goth | Centurylink |  |

**Sub-team Objective:**

To determine if a recommendation for any changes to the current definition of a Simple Port will be included in the LNPA WG’s work package to be forwarded to the NANC

**Discussion**

Gary Sacra, Verizon and LNPA co-chair, provided what he believed the Simple Port Subteam had reached consensus on to date in terms of recommended added language to the FCC's Simple Port definition, see the following:

**REGARDING THE CRITERIA ON UNEs:**

CURRENT FCC DEFINITION FOR SIMPLE PORTS:

(1) do not involve unbundled network elements;

CLARIFYING LANGUAGE:

CLARIFYING LANGUAGE:

The LNPA-WG’s understanding of current industry practices regarding UNE involvement in porting a Simple Port is that the UNE’s of Dedicated Transport, 911/E911, or Operational Support Systems are not a factor in determining or executing a Simple Port.

After discussion there were some minor changes, databases was removed added a comma and changed ‘and’ to ‘or’.

**REGARDING THE CRITERIA ON COMPLEX SWITCH TRANSLATIONS:**

CURRENT FCC DEFINITION FOR SIMPLE PORTS:

(3) do not include complex switch translations (*e.g.*, Centrex, ISDN, AIN services, remote call forwarding, or multiple services on the loop);

CLARIFYING LANGUAGE:

The mere existence of these services cited as examples, or any other service associated with the porting customer's account, does not necessarily constitute complex switch translations or classify the port as Non-Simple.

If the other criteria defining a Simple Port would otherwise lead to classifying a port as Simple, the porting of the customer with any of these services could be classified as Simple.  Note that the porting of telephone number(s) from a multi-telephone number account or group is Non-Simple.

The existence of the cited examples or any other service associated with the porting customer’s account, does not necessarily mean

The team carefully reviewed the Clarifying Language of the above information, and made several suggested changes to the language with the final proposal as follows:

The services cited as examples are not necessarily provided utilizing complex switch translations. If the other criteria defining a Simple Port would otherwise lead to classifying a port as Simple, the porting of the customer with any of these services should/could be classified as Simple.

ACTION ITEMS:

* Carriers are to determine whether the above language needs to say “services should” or “services could”.
* In addition, carriers need to answer the following question.

If all the other criteria qualify the port as simple, what about the service would define it as a non-simple port, for example ISDN or remote call forwarding.

**OPEN ITEMS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ISSUE NUMBER** | **ISSUE** | **STATUS** |
| SP001 | Simple Port is the “port only” | Open |
| SP002 | Any changes to the original 07-188 order should be managed through the FNPRM. Further definition of a “simple Port” by this sub-committee does not preclude any FNPRM positions. | Open |
| SP003 | Parity issue in return of a loop | Open |
| SP004 | Technical/Operational Issues to returning a loop | Open |

**Open Action: Involve an Account only for a single line.** What are the technical restrictions on porting an entire account? Participants are requested to be prepared to discuss the second non-simple port qualifier.

**Agenda: 8/14/09**

* Finalize ‘Clarifying Language’ for Simple Ports
* Work other open issues

**Next Meetings: August 14 : 1:00PM to 3:00PM Eastern**

**Noon to 2:00PM Central**

**11:00AM to 1:00PM Mountain**

**10:00AM to Noon Pacific**

**Duration: 2 hours**

**Bridge Number: 1-866-222-8883 (P 244946)**