LNPA WORKING GROUP

December 8, 2009 Conference Call

Final Minutes

**TUESDAY 12/08/09**

Tuesday, 12/08/09, Conference Call Attendance:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** | | |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Mubeen Saifullah | | NeuStar Clearinghouse |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | Shannon Sevigny | | NeuStar Pooling |
| Ron Steen | AT&T | Linda Peterman | | One Communications |
| Tracey Guidotti | AT&T | Jan Doell | | Qwest |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T | Towanda Russell | | RCN |
| Barbara Hjelmaa | Brighthouse | Sue Tiffany | | Sprint Nextel |
| Marian Hearn | Canadian LNP Consortium | Jeanne Kulesa | | Synchronoss |
| Vicki Goth | CenturyLink | George Nesler | | Synchronoss |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast | Bob Bruce | | Syniverse |
| Jennifer Aspeslaugh | Comcast | John Malyar | | Telcordia |
| Cindy Sheehan | Comcast | Pat White | | Telcordia |
| Beth O’Donnell | Cox | Lisa Marie Maxson | | Telcordia |
| Jennifer Hutton | Cox | Kayla Sharbaugh | | Telcordia |
| Dennis Robins | DER Consulting | Paula Jordan | | T-Mobile |
| Greg Council | Evolving Systems | Mohamed Samater | | T-Mobile |
| Steve Farnsworth | Evolving Systems | Heather Patterson | | Transaction Network Services |
| Bonnie Johnson | Integra Telecom | Amanda Molina | | Townes Telecommunications |
| Karen Hoffman | JSI | David Lund | | US Cellular |
| Bridget Alexander | JSI | Tanya Golub | | US Cellular |
| Bridget Ketiku | Metro PCS | Gary Sacra | | Verizon |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber | Jason Lee | | Verizon |
| John Nakamura | NeuStar | Deb Tucker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Jim Rooks | NeuStar | Tom Zablocki | | Vonage |
| Stephen Addicks | NeuStar | Tana Henson | | Windstream |
| Marybeth Degeorgis | NeuStar | Tiki Gaugler | | XO Communications |
|  |  |  | |  |

NOTE: ALL ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE “DECEMBER 8 2009 LNPA ACTION ITEMS” FILE ISSUED IN A SEPARATE E-MAIL FROM THESE MINUTES AND ATTACHED BELOW.

****

**DECEMBER 8, 2009 CONFERENCE CALL MINUTES:**

2010 SPID Migration Blackout Requests – All:

Action Item 111009-09: Regarding Sprint Nextel’s request to designate October 31, 2010 as a SPID migration blackout date, Service Providers are to come to the December 8, 2009 conference call prepared to determine if the request will be approved.

* There were no objections voiced to Sprint Nextel’s request and it was approved.

Action Item 111009-10: Regarding NeuStar’s request to designate January 24, 2010 as a SPID migration blackout date in the West Coast Region and February 21, 2010 as a SPID migration blackout date in the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic Regions in order to install NPAC equipment, Service Providers are to come to the December 8, 2009 conference call prepared to determine if the request will be approved.

* There were no objections voiced to NeuStar’s request for January 24, 2010. AT&T Mobility was the lone objection to the February 21, 2010 request. Both requests were approved.
* Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will distribute the current list of 2010 SPID migration blackout dates based on the most recent additions.

NOTE: This Action Item was completed on 12/15//09.

Change Management – NeuStar:

* It was reported that all 3 NANC Change Orders, NANC 416, NANC440, and NANC 441, developed in support of FCC Order 09-41, were approved by the NAPM LLC. NeuStar developed Statement of Work (SOW) 77 for the Change Orders at the request of the LLC. The GDMO and ASN.1 for SOW 77 has been completed and is currently going through internal NeuStar review. They will go out to the industry shortly. The FRS and IIS are being updated.
* Related to NANC 408 (SPID Migration Automation) in Release 3.4, FRS Requirement RR3-263 instructs the NPAC to update the Old SPID in a migration when a code is migrated. NeuStar stated that they are not aware why this is required and it has implications in NANC 408. NeuStar would like to remove this requirement so that the functionality is consistent with what Service Providers do in a migration. LNPA WG Participants are to come to the January 12-13, 2010 meeting prepared to determine if FRS Requirement RR3-263, which instructs the NPAC to update the Old SPID in a migration when a code is migrated, can be eliminated. Keeping this requirement could reduce the number of SPID migrations that are eligible to be done over the interface.
* At the November 10-11, 2009 LNPA WG meeting, the group agreed on a plan for NeuStar to develop a report indicating whether each SPID supported the Simple Port Timers, in order to provide the industry a means of determining which providers would implement FCC 09-41 within 9 months vs. 15 months.  The report would be placed on the NPAC's secure web site. At the November 10-11, 2009 meeting, it was agreed to request that the NAPM LLC approve the plan and direct NeuStar to develop this report. On the December 8, 2009 LNPA WG conference call, the group agreed on the following requirements for the report, if approved by the NAPM LLC:
  + The reports will be available by June 1, 2010.
  + The reports will be updated daily.
  + The reports will be maintained until August 1, 2011.
  + Reports will be prepared separately for each U.S. regional NPAC.
  + The reports will contain three columns of data for each User in the region:
    1. "SPID"
    2. "Simple Port Support?"
    3. "Date Support Indication Last Changed"
* Old reports will not be retained.
* All SPIDs will be reflected in the reports.
* Each day's reports are a new snapshot and is a complete replacement of the previous day's reports.
* The current reports will be removed and replaced about 3:00am Eastern each morning.
* Access to the current reports will be via a link on the NPAC secure web site's home page.
* Reports will be .csv files that can be copied into a spreadsheet. An option to open or save locally will be provided.
* Providers that want to automate pulling down this report will need to determine what tools they have available to do so.

Open Action Item Discussion – All:



* The status of the following open Action Items were discussed on the conference call:

Action Item 090209-03: Sue Tiffany, Sprint Nextel, will submit a proposed Best Practice on Supplemental LSRs, expedites, and the respective FOC response time for Due Date changes for review by the LNPA WG.

* + - Sue Tiffany, Sprint Nextel, reported that this Action Item will be discussed at the January 12-13, 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

Action Item 090209-06: Regarding time zone differences between Simple and Non-Simple Ports, Service Providers are to determine if any changes will be made for Non-Simple NPAC Business Hours. (This is former LNPA WG FCC 09-41 Parking Lot Item PL072709-02.)

* + - Regarding open Action Item 090209-06, which addresses time zone

differences between the Simple Port process and the Non-Simple Port process, Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will note in the Action Item that the LNPA WG agreed to maintain the NPAC business hours of 7am-7pm Central, Monday-Friday, for wireline-to-wireline and intermodal Non-Simple Ports, but will revisit this decision if the time zone differences become problematic after implementation of FCC 09-41.

Action Item 090209-07: Service Providers are to discuss when the 4 hour FOC clock starts – when the Clearinghouse or Service Bureau receives the LSR or when the Old Service Provider receives the LSR.

* + - There were no objections expressed to the clock starting upon receipt of the LSR by the Old SP or the Old SP’s Clearinghouse/Service Bureau, if used.
    - Regarding open Action Item 090209-07, which addresses when the 4-hour

FOC clock starts for Simple Ports submitted through a Clearinghouse or Service Bureau, Jan Doell, Qwest, will submit draft text to the LNPA WG Co-Chairs proposing that it starts upon receipt by the Old SP or the Old SP’s Clearinghouse/Service Bureau, if used. The proposed text will be reviewed and discussed at the January 12-13, 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

Action Item 091509-05: Wireless Service Providers are to work a solution to the following within the current rules. Delay Response in the Intermodal process: Today, when a Wireline carrier ports a number away from a Wireless carrier that happens to be a Wireless Reseller, the Wireless Network SP carrier sends a Delay Response. Wireline carriers cannot process the Delay response. The only option that exists today is a Reject or FOC with a new Due Date and Time. The LNPA WG needs to address how a Wireless carrier should respond to a Wireline carrier for Wireless Reseller ports (Non-Simple). What kind of Response will the Wireless (OSP) send to the Wireline carrier (NSP) in the event the port is considered a Non-Simple Port? What type of Response is appropriate and what will the Wireline carrier be able to accept? (This is former LNPA WG FCC 09-41 Parking Lot Item PL090209-01.)

* + - A number of wireless carriers stated that they intend to work with their resellers to have them respond to them within the 4 hours.
    - It was agreed that we will not carve out a separate rule for wireless resellers with respect to the 4-hour FOC requirement that is different than the general 4-hour rule. It was further agreed that there would be no system changes and wireless carriers will work to resolve this with their individual resellers consistent with the 4 hour rule if the LSR comes in as a Simple Port request.
    - Action Item 091509-05 is closed.

2010 Meeting/Call Schedule – All:



* No changes were made to the current 2010 meeting/call schedule (attached).

New Business – All:

* There were no new business items raised.

NANC 437 Issues Parking Lot Matrix Discussion – All:

Action Item 111009-12: Regarding the attached NANC 437 Issue Parking Lot Matrix, LNPA WG Participants are to come prepared to the December 8, 2009 conference call to identify which Open and Pending items require deeper dive analysis. The deeper dive analysis for identified items will begin at the January 2010 meeting.



* The group identified the following for further deeper analysis, beginning at the January 12-13, 2010 LNPA WG meeting:

1. The group identified the following NANC 437 Issue Parking Lot Matrix Items for further deeper analysis from the document attached above:

**MAJOR TOPIC: ITEMS:**

**M&P/LEVEL OF EFFORT:** 1, 4, 27

**M&P:** 2, 25, 74

**OPERATIONAL:** 36

**FUTURE REQUIREMENTS:** 37, 53

**DOCUMENTATION:** 46, 71, 72, 115, 129, 141, 144, 146, 167, 177, 179, 193

**ARCHITECTURE/M&P:** 80

**ARCHITECTURE:** 23, 95, 99.2, 101, 112, 140, 169, 192

**LEVEL OF EFFORT:** 127

**DOCUMENTATION/LEVEL OF EFFORT:** 173

1. The group also identified the following items for further deeper analysis:
   * Regarding NANC 437, Evolving Systems will distribute documentation to

the LNPA WG related to NANC 437 Issues Parking Lot Matrix Item # 53, which addresses the timing of the transfer of the Master NPAC role to the New SP’s NPAC. This documentation is to be distributed to the LNPA WG by January 4, 2010, even if in draft form, for review prior to the January 12-13, 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

* + Regarding NANC 437, NeuStar will distribute documentation to the LNPA WG related to any race condition issues they have identified and documentation related to current Methods & Procedure (M&Ps) that may require inter-NPAC communication (reference open Action Item 111009-11). This documentation is to be distributed to the LNPA WG by January 4, 2010, even if in draft form, for review prior to the January 12-13, 2010 LNPA WG meeting.
  + The items contained in the document attached below will also be discussed in more detail at the January 12-13, 2010 LNPA WG meeting:



* Telcordia provided the attached breakdown summary of the open NANC 437 Issues Parking Lot Matrix items, which categorizes each:



* The group completed the second pass review of the NANC 437 Issues Parking Lot matrix, discussing the open items in identifying which required further deeper analysis. All revisions to the document are captured in the attached v17 and are identified with the date 12/08/09.



***Next General LNPA WG Meeting …January 12-13, 2010, Scottsdale, Arizona – Hosted by Telcordia***