LNPA WORKING GROUP

ARCHITECTURE PLANNING TEAM (APT)

June 14, 2011 Conference Call

Final Minutes

**TUESDAY 06/14/11**

Tuesday, 06/14/11, Conference Call Attendance:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** |
| Tina Plaisance | Alltel | Tony Fillippone | Optimum Lightpath |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Karen Riepenkroger | Sprint Nextel |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | Sue Tiffany | Sprint Nextel |
| Tracey Guidotti | AT&T | Suzanne Addington | Sprint Nextel |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T | Bob Bruce | Syniverse |
| Jan Doell | CenturyLink | Pat White | Telcordia |
| Karin Fahrenbruch | CenturyLink | Lisa Marie Maxson | Telcordia |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast | Joel Zamlong | Telcordia |
| Linda Peterman | Earthlink | Kayla Sharbaugh | Telcordia |
| Eric Monkelien | Level 3 | Steve Koch | Telcordia |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber | George Tsacnaris | Telcordia |
| Paul LaGattuta | Neustar | Stacy Hannah | Time Warner Telecom |
| John Nakamura | Neustar | Paula Jordan | T-Mobile |
| Jim Rooks | Neustar | Luke Sessions | T-Mobile |
| Stephen Addicks | Neustar  | Gary Sacra | Verizon |
| Dave Garner | Neustar | Jason Lee | Verizon |
| Mubeen Saifullah | Neustar Clearinghouse | Deb Tucker | Verizon Wireless |
| Shannon Sevigny | Neustar Pooling | Traci Brunner | Windstream |
|  |  | Dawn Lawrence | XO Communications |
|  |  |  |  |

NOTE: ALL LNPA WG APT ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE “JUNE\_14\_2011 LNPA WG APT ACTION ITEMS” FILE ISSUED IN A SEPARATE E-MAIL FROM THESE MINUTES AND ATTACHED BELOW.

****

**JUNE 14, 2011 LNPA WG APT CONFERENCE CALL MINUTES:**

Status of Vendor and Service Provider Test Case Lists – Neustar & Telcordia:

**Action Item 051011-16:** Neustar and Telcordia will create a list of Vendor (ITP) and Service Provider regression test cases, identify which are Vendor (ITP) and which are regression or which are both, determine which are conditional, and which apply to the following four categories:

1. New Service Provider and New Vendor,
2. New Service Provider and Experienced Vendor,
3. Experienced Service Provider and New Vendor,
4. Experienced Service Provider and Experienced Vendor.

The status of this work effort will be provided on the June 14, 2011 APT conference call and at the APT portion of the July 2011 LNPA WG meeting.

* Neustar reported that they have been working on additions to the attached test case list, first reviewed at the May 2011 LNPA WG APT meeting, for additional flows.

****

* This is still very much a work in progress and will be on the agenda for the July 2011 LNPA WG APT meeting.

Review of New PIM on Pending SVs Preventing Creation of Pooled 1K Blocks – Neustar:

**Action Item 051011-03:** Regarding the requirement in the NPAC preventing creation of a pending pooled 1K block if there is a pending SV within that 1K block, and vice versa, until the pending SV or block is either activated or canceled, .Neustar will write up a PIM problem statement on this issue to be reviewed on the June 14, 2011 APT conference call.

* + - * Neustar presented the attached proposed PIM document related to the issue in Action Item 051011-03.



* + - * Neustar stated that the most frequent scenario related to this issue is a provider creating a block in their own code such as to move inventory to a different one of their switches in a rate center using pooling. The least frequent occurrence is a provider getting one of their donated blocks from the pool and assigning it to a different one of their switches than the switch from which it was donated.
			* For cases where the codeholder SPID and the blockholder SPID are different within the same provider, this proposed resolution would not apply.
* There were no objections to accepting this PIM.
	+ - * For non-EDR system providers, they would not get a TN broadcast for a pending, but not yet activated, SV, and Neustar was not sure how their systems would handle it. Regarding the attached PIM, Service Providers (and, in particular, non-EDR LSMS Service Providers) are to internally investigate the impact of allowing pending SVs to exist when a block is activated. The scenario being considered is only for the case where the code-owner SPID and the block-owner SPID are the same. This will be further discussed during the APT portion of the July 2011 LNPA WG meeting in conjunction with a proposed related Change Order.
			* Neustar will develop a related Change Order for review and discussion during the APT portion of the July 2011 LNPA WG meeting.
			* A Service Provider asked how often the issue described in the PIM occurs. Neustar responded that it happens on average about once a week and could involve a number of blocks.

***The next APT meeting will take place on Tuesday, July 12, 2011, during the July 2011 LNPA WG meeting in New Orleans, Louisiana. The meeting is being hosted by Neustar. A dial-in bridge will be provided - 888-412-7808 PIN 23272#.***