LNPA WORKING GROUP

September 14-15, 2010 Meeting

Final Minutes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Morrisville, North Carolina | Host: Tekelec |

**ARCHITECTURE PLANNING TEAM (APT) DISCUSSION:**

**TUESDAY 09/14/10**

Tuesday, 09/14/10, Attendance:

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tracey Guidotti | AT&T | Peggy Rubino | | Paetec (phone) |
| Ron Steen | AT&T | Jan Doell | | Qwest (phone) |
| Mark Lancaster | AT&T (phone) | Mary Retka | | Qwest (phone) |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T | Towanda Russell | | RCN (phone) |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Michele Gehl | | Sprint Nextel |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | Rosemary Emmer | | Sprint Nextel |
| Barbara Hjelmaa | Brighthouse Networks (phone) | Sue Tiffany | | Sprint Nextel |
| Matt Mahon | Cablevision (phone) | Carol Frike | | Sprint Nextel (phone) |
| Marian Hearn | Canadian LNP Consortium (phone) | Jeannie Kulesa | | Synchronoss (phone) |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast (phone) | Bob Bruce | | Syniverse (phone) |
| Jennifer Hutton | Cox (phone) | Ramesh Chellamani | | Tekelec |
| Dennis Robins | DER Consulting (phone) | Joel Zamlong | | Telcordia |
| Devang Naik | DSET | Adam Newman | | Telcordia |
| Jay Hjellum | Evolving Systems | Pat White | | Telcordia |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW (phone) | Lisa Marie Maxson | | Telcordia |
| Ellen Robinson | Hawaiian Telecom | Steven Koch | | Telcordia |
| Roger Skinner | Hawaiian Telecom | Kayla Sharbaugh | | Telcordia (phone) |
| Bridget Alexander | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Paula Jordan | | T-Mobile |
| Angie Mackey | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Luke Sessions | | T-Mobile |
| Karen Hoffman | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Heather Patterson | | TNS (phone) |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber (phone) | Amanda Molina | | Townes (phone) |
| Paul LaGattuta | Neustar | Tiffany Stacy | | Townes (phone) |
| Jim Rooks | Neustar | Tanya Golub | | US Cellular (phone) |
| Stephen Addicks | Neustar | George Stoneseifer | | US Cellular (phone) |
| Michael O’Connor | Neustar | Gary Sacra | | Verizon |
| John Nakamura | Neustar | Jason Lee | | Verizon (phone) |
| Marcel Champagne | Neustar (phone) | Deb Tucker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Dave Garner | Neustar | Imanu Hill | | Vonage |
| Lavinia Rotaru | Neustar | Tana Henson | | Windstream |
| Mubeen Saifullah | Neustar Clearinghouse | Dawn Lawrence | | XO Comm. |
| Shannon Sevigny | Neustar Pooling (phone) | Tiki Gaugler | | XO Comm. (phone) |
| Linda Peterman | One Communications |  | |  |
|  |  |  | |  |

NOTE: ALL ACTION ITEMS REFERENCED IN THE MINUTES BELOW HAVE BEEN CAPTURED IN THE “SEPTEMBER 14-15 2010 LNPA ACTION ITEMS” FILE ISSUED IN A SEPARATE E-MAIL FROM THESE MINUTES AND ATTACHED BELOW.

****

**MEETING MINUTES:**

**2010 LNPA WG Meeting/Call Schedule:**

Following is the current schedule for the 2010 LNPA WG meetings and calls.

| **MONTH**  **(2010)** | NANC MEETING DATES | LNPA WG  **MEETING/CALL**  **DATES** | HOST COMPANY | MEETING LOCATION |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 12th-13th | Telcordia | Scottsdale, Arizona |
| February |  | No meeting.  2/9/2010 call from 11am to 5pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| March |  | 9th-10th | Comcast | Denver, Colorado |
| April |  | No meeting.  4/13/2010 call from 11am to 5pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| May |  | 11th-12th | Brighthouse and Syniverse | St. Petersburg, Florida |
| June |  | No meeting.  6/8/2010 call from 11am to 2pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| July |  | 13th-14th | NeuStar | Seattle, Washington |
| August |  | No meeting.  8/10/2010 call from 11am to 2pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| September |  | 14th-15th | Tekelec | Morrisville, North Carolina |
| October |  | No meeting.  10/12/2010 call from 12pm to 4pm Eastern time, dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272# |  |  |
| November |  | 9th-10th | Sprint Nextel | Key West, Florida |
| December |  | No meeting.  12/7/2010 call if necessary |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Continuing evaluation during 2010 will determine if interim conference calls are needed or if the decision to meet face-to-face every other month should be revisited.

July 13-14, 2010 Meeting Minutes Review:

* No changes were made to the DRAFT July 13-14, 2010 LNPA WG meeting minutes, and they were approved as FINAL.

August 10, 2010 Conference Call Minutes Review:

* With the following change made to the DRAFT August 10, 2010 LNPA WG conference call minutes, they were approved as FINAL.
  + **Page 3, 3rd bullet under NANC 408 SPID Migration Automation Action Item**, change to read, “Consensus was reached by the LNPA WG to enable Service Providers to restrict on a SPID basis which of their LTI User Logins can initiate and schedule SPID migrations and have restriction as the default behavior, with the caveat that Neustar will propose ways to minimize the necessary paperwork for those providers with responsibility over multiple SPIDs. This will be discussed at the September 14-15, 2010 LNPA WG meeting.”

NANC 437 Feasibility Discussion (Action Item 060810-04) – All:

**** ****

**Action Item 060810-04:** At the September 14-15, 2010 face-to-face LNPA WG meeting, the Service Providers that have participated in the LNPA WG’s feasibility analysis of NANC 437 will determine if consensus can be reached on two separate questions:

1. Based on the definition attached, is NANC 437 “Technically Feasible?”
2. Based on the definition attached, is NANC 437 “Operationally Feasible?”

See related Action Items 060810-02 and 060810-03.

* Technical Feasibility:
  + T-Mobile began the discussion by stating that they believed NANC 437 was technically feasible but would require significant level of effort and money to develop and implement. Sprint Nextel, Comcast, Qwest, Integra, Verizon, AT&T, and US Cellular also voiced their opinion that NANC 437 is technically feasible.
  + Some providers stated that, per the definition of technically feasible, no insurmountable technical issues have been identified during the analysis, but added that the architecture has significant technical challenges in their opinion.
  + There were no objections voiced by participating Service Providers on the question of NANC 437 technical feasibility. As a result, consensus was reached that NANC 437 is believed to be technically feasible.
* Operational Feasibility:
* Comcast stated that they believed NANC 437 was operationally feasible and will require a high level of cooperation among vendors, but they felt benefits of increased competition would result.
* Verizon stated that they believed NANC 437 was not operationally feasible due to reasons including the need for highly competitive vendors to cooperate with each other to resolve service-affecting issues and the likely resultant delays, their view that an unacceptable level of effort would be required to maintain the current level of NPAC platform performance and reliability, and unacceptable adverse impacts to the overall porting process and the consumer’s ability to seamlessly port their number. Verizon further stated that they did not recommend any further consideration of a peered NPAC architecture and that the best path to ensure competition was continued development of a competitive bid by the NAPM LLC for the current one-NPAC database-per-region architecture.
* AT&T stated that they agreed with Verizon and believed NANC 437 was not operationally feasible.
* Sprint Nextel stated that they also agreed with Verizon and cited the remaining open issues in the NANC 437 Issues Parking Lot Matrix in stating that they believed NANC 437 was not operationally feasible.
* XO Communications stated that they felt a peered NPAC architecture would be a step backward from the current architecture and believed NANC 437 was not operationally feasible.
* T-Mobile stated they felt NANC 437 was not operationally feasible and cited a recent example of finger pointing between vendors that led to prolonged resolution of a service-affecting issue.
* US Cellular stated that they believed NANC 437 is not operationally feasible because addition of the second and subsequent NPACs will either result in the degradation of service or an additional expense to maintain the service at the same level.  Consequently, adding new NPACs into the region will cause significant risk to number porting for an uncertain reward.
* Qwest stated that they felt NANC 437 is operationally feasible and added that it will require effort, and there are still some open parking lot issues, but felt that the open issues could be dealt with as part of the RFP process.
* One Communications stated that they believe NANC 437 is operationally feasible and that it was neither the intent of NANC 437 nor  necessary for every issue to be vetted to a final solution in order to make that determination.
* Integra stated that they felt NANC 437 is operationally feasible but may be difficult and costly to implement and must be monitored to ensure it can work ongoing.
* A provider stated that some seem to be confusing the definition of technical feasibility with operational feasibility. Another provider responded that that was not the case and that they had considered the definition of both when making their determination.
* A provider stated that, although the LNPA WG typically does not consider the cost of Change Orders, it is difficult to not consider the cost when making feasibility decisions.
* Following are the positions of those providers that voiced opinions on the question of NANC 437 operational feasibility:

OPERATIONALLY FEASIBLE NOT OPERATIONALLY FEASIBLE

Cablevision AT&T

Comcast Hawaiian Telco

Integra RCN

One Communication Sprint Nextel

Qwest T-Mobile

US Cellular

Verizon

XO Communications

ABSTAINING: Windstream

As a result, the Co-Chairs determined that there was no clear consensus either way as to the question of NANC 437 operational feasibility.

NOTE: Subsequent to the September 2010 LNPA WG meeting, Cox Communications contacted the Co-Chairs and asked that their position in support of both NANC 437 technical and operational feasibility be noted.

* It was agreed that position papers on both sides would be developed documenting the rationale for each. Service Providers on both sides of the question of NANC 437 operational feasibility are to develop a document with their rationale for their respective positions. The two documents are to be distributed to the LNPA WG by October 8, 2010 for review prior to discussion on the October 12, 2010 LNPA WG conference call. Tim Kagele, Comcast, will lead the group drafting the position paper in support of NANC 437 operational feasibility. Gary Sacra, Verizon, will lead the group drafting the position paper in opposition to NANC 437 operational feasibility.
* Joel Zamlong, Telcordia, summarized by thanking the LNPA WG for a great job in performing the feasibility analysis of NANC 437 and stated that Telcordia appreciated the diligence and commitment by all vendors and Service Providers.  He stated that the fact that there was a parking lot of approximately 200 items that were each discussed attests to the diligence of the group.  He further stated that he intends to thank the WG again in front of the NANC.  He feels that NANC 437 is an industry Change Order brought to the table by Telcordia.  He further stated that based on discussions that Telcordia was having with the NAPM LLC in 2008 on NPAC solutions, the LLC asked Telcordia to get input from industry experts on the technical feasibility of a peered NPAC solution.  Telcordia chose to approach the LNPA WG in January 2009 to present the Change Order which was accepted by the industry to develop an assessment of its feasibility. Joel further stated that all comments expressed on cost and vendor cooperation in the operational feasibility area are valid concerns but believes they are business concerns that the NAPM LLC would need to further assess with the associated benefits through an RFP process to determine the business viability of the solution.
* Next Steps – All:
* The Co-Chairs outlined the next steps for NANC 437 stating that only Telcordia has requested that the WG address the peered NPAC architecture proposal by conducting the feasibility analysis, which has now been completed. The Final Report will be given to Telcordia and distributed over the LNPA WG distribution list. A readout of the outcome of the LNPA WG’s determinations of technical and operational feasibility will also be part of the Project Executive report to the NAPM LLC and the LNPA WG’s report to NANC at their October 22, 2010 meeting.

FCC Order 09-41 Implementation Discussion – All:

* **Action Item 051110-02:** Service Providers are to send their planned implementation date (either 8/2/2010 or 2/02/2011) of one business day porting (FCC Order 09-41) to the LNPA WG Co-Chairs ([gary.m.sacra@verizon.com](mailto:gary.m.sacra@verizon.com), [paula.jordan@t-mobile.com](mailto:paula.jordan@t-mobile.com), and [lpeterman@onecommunications.com](mailto:lpeterman@onecommunications.com)) by May 31, 2010. See related Action Item 051110-03.
  + Action Item 051110-02 remains open.
  + Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will redistribute open Action Item 051110-02 to the LNPA WG.
* **Action Item 051110-03:** Service Providers are to provide their SPID(s) associated with their planned implementation date (either 8/2/2010 or 2/2/2011) of one business day porting (FCC Order 09-41) to the LNPA WG Co-Chairs ([gary.m.sacra@verizon.com](mailto:gary.m.sacra@verizon.com), [paula.jordan@t-mobile.com](mailto:paula.jordan@t-mobile.com), and [lpeterman@onecommunications.com](mailto:lpeterman@onecommunications.com)) by May 31, 2010. See related Action Item 051110-02.
  + Action Item 051110-03 remains open.
  + Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will redistribute open Action Item 051110-03 to the LNPA WG.
* Lessons Learned (Action Item 081010-05) – All

**Action Item 081010-05:** LNPA WG Co-Chairs will place on the September 14-15, 2010 LNPA WG meeting agenda a discussion of the lessons learned from the FCC 09-41 implementation and guidance on addressing issues encountered. This may lead to development of a “Lessons Learned” document.



* Teresa Patton, AT&T, discussed the attached Lessons Learned document addressing the testing leading up to the August 2, 2010 implementation of FCC Order 09-41. The document is separated into test planning, test execution, and implementation. In summary, Teresa stated that Wireless to Wireless testing for WICIS 5.0.0/Simple Port reduction interval was successful and that the test execution went very smoothly. No interoperability issues were identified during testing or upon implementation of WICIS 5.0.0. Intermodal testing, however, presented a number of issues and very little testing was able to be completed.
* JSI stated that their carriers need test partners and will send a list of their carriers that want to test.
* A provider stated that some New SPs in a port are sending up their create message to the NPAC before they get the FOC from the Old SP. It was stated that this is justification for the Old SP to place the port into Conflict.
* A provider asked what a Reject Response should say if the Old SP rejects the LSR when they determine the port request is non-simple. It was stated that the Response Code will vary from wireline carrier to wireline carrier but it should note that it is being rejected because it is a non-simple port and the New SP should resubmit or Supp the order, depending on how the Old SP handles it.
* Brighthouse stated that their implementation date for SPIDs 927D, 336E, 337E, 338E, and 339E will be September 19, 2010.
* Linda Peterman, One Communications and LNPA WG Co-Chair, teed up a question on whether the MTI profile had to be changed in the NPAC during the Sunday maintenance window. Some providers have indicated that they want them done during the week. Neustar reported at the July LLC meeting that coordinated updates of NPAC and SP SOA profiles would be performed during Sunday maintenance windows. Neustar feels it is prudent to only do it on Sundays because it has to be coordinated with SP SOA profile updates. SOA associations must be brought down and brought back up after the change is made. If not coordinated, SV Creates will fail. There were no objections to keeping the changes within the Sunday maintenance window. Emergency exceptions will be made if a provider misses the Sunday prior to February 2, 2011 and needs to update their profile in preparation for the February 2, 2011 implementation date. Neustar will issue an alert over the Cross-Regional distribution advising Service Providers to be prepared for the January 30, 2011 Sunday maintenance window to update their Medium Timer Indicator profile if they are implementing one business day porting on February 2, 2011. The alert is to be sent out now and then every 30 days leading up to the January 30, 2011 maintenance window.
* Action Item 081010-05 is closed.

Discussion of Sale of Vanity Numbers (Lonnie Keck – AT&T Mobility):

**Action Item 081010-02:** Regarding the issue raised during the New Business portion of the August 10, 2010 LNPA WG conference call related to the sale and sometime fraudulent porting of vanity numbers, Lonnie Keck, AT&T Mobility, along with Deb Tucker, Verizon Wireless, Sue Tiffany, Sprint Nextel, Tina Plaisance, Alltel/Verizon Wireless, and Mohamed Samater, T-Mobile, will write up a description of the issue for review at the September 14-15, 2010 LNPA WG meeting. This issue will be presented at the next NANC meeting. See related Action Item 081010-06.

**Action Item 081010-06:** LNPA WG Co-Chairs will place on the September 14-15, 2010 LNPA WG meeting agenda a discussion and review of the write-up describing the issue related to the sale and sometime fraudulent porting of vanity numbers. See related Action Item 081010-02.

* Lonnie Keck, AT&T Mobility, teed up the discussion by stating that this issue of selling and porting vanity numbers was noticed by a number of national wireless carriers and sometimes puts customers out of service. He further stated that there does not appear to be any FCC rules against the buying and selling of TNs.
* Adam Newman, INC Chairman, stated that the INC COCAG and TBPAG have guidelines against bartering of TNs, but this is a guideline for SPs and not end users. A participant stated that they recalled some FCC codification of guidelines. Lonnie responded that FCC rules appear to specifically address toll free numbers.
* It was stated that some frauds hire Private Investigators to gather necessary information so the fraud can masquerade as the account holder and port the number.
* A provider asked if this possibly became an issue with the 4 validation fields.
* Another provider raised the point that TNs, as an asset of a business, get legitimately transferred during the course of selling a business.
* A provider stated that we are looking at this from a number of different perspectives. LNP changed the customer’s perspective that they think they now own the number and not the carrier.
* John Manning, Director of NANPA, has offered to join the next sub-team call to provide a status of NANPA involvement related to this issue. He will be invited and then the sub-team will bring the issue back to the LNPA WG for our next steps. Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will place a follow-up discussion of the issue related to the sale and fraudulent porting of vanity numbers on the agenda of the October 12, 2010 LNPA WG conference call.

2011 SPID Migration Blackout Schedule – All:

* The LNPA WG agreed to the following 2011 SPID Migration Blackout schedule.
  + January 2, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + February 6, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + March 6, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + April 3, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + May 1, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + June 5, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + July 3, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + August 7, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + September 4, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + October 2, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + October 23, 2011 – Annual Failover Exercise) (all Regions)
  + November 6, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + November 27, 2011 – Thanksgiving Holiday (all Regions)
  + December 4, 2011 – 1st Sunday of Month (all Regions)
  + December 25, 2011 – Christmas Holiday (all Regions)
    - Neustar stated that there will be the need for additional region-specific blackouts for Release 3.4 and technology refreshes.

Brainstorming Future LNPA WG Agenda Items – All:

* + - * The initial brainstorming session at the July 2010 meeting identified the following potential agenda items and projects for future meetings. Items identified were as follows:
        + Geographic Porting
        + 2 ½ hour porting for intermodal
        + Review of SOW 24 test requirements and regression/turn-up test plan
        + Intermodal testing
        + Update Best Practices document
      * At the September 2010 meeting, the following additional potential future agenda items were identified:
        + With the increase in SOA and LSMS throughput requirements due to NANC 397, perform another test at 25K after Release 3.4 is implemented.
        + The ability to manage one’s own operations needs by being able to look into other scheduled projects, e.g. at a centralized GUI, and being able to schedule and perform own mass porting/mass updates without exceeding industry limits.
        + Address the time it takes to download and process a full BDD – possible suggestions to speed up the process or run in the background on low priority.
        + Are the additions to the SV record that are not related to LRN routing meeting the needs of the industry? Are they being used? Would carriers be willing to share how they are being used and what else can we do in addition? A provider stated that having these additional data fields as options are meeting their needs. Neustar stated that altSPID is being used heavily.
        + Using the NPAC for the ICP process. Standardizing the process.
        + LRN LATA issue. There are currently over 10K records which include 8 1K blocks that contain an LRN in a different LATA than the associated TN or 1K block. Regarding the issue raised by Verizon related to SV records with LRNs in a different LATA than the associated TNs, Gary Sacra, Verizon, will develop a PIM for review and discussion on the October 12, 2010 LNPA WG conference call.
  + It was agreed to place this item on the agenda for the November 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

##### LNPA WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION:

**WEDNESDAY 09/15/10**

Wednesday, 09/15/10, Attendance:

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tracey Guidotti | AT&T | Peggy Rubino | | Paetec (phone) |
| Ron Steen | AT&T | Jan Doell | | Qwest (phone) |
| Mark Lancaster | AT&T (phone) | Mary Retka | | Qwest (phone) |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T | Towanda Russell | | RCN (phone) |
| Renee Dillon | AT&T Mobility | Michele Gehl | | Sprint Nextel |
| Lonnie Keck | AT&T Mobility | Rosemary Emmer | | Sprint Nextel |
| Barbara Hjelmaa | Brighthouse Networks (phone) | Sue Tiffany | | Sprint Nextel |
| Tim Kagele | Comcast (phone) | Carol Frike | | Sprint Nextel (phone) |
| Jennifer Hutton | Cox (phone) | Bob Bruce | | Syniverse (phone) |
| Beth O’Donnell | Cox (phone) | Ramesh Chellamani | | Tekelec |
| Dennis Robins | DER Consulting (phone) | Joel Zamlong | | Telcordia |
| Devang Naik | DSET | Adam Newman | | Telcordia |
| Jay Hjellum | Evolving Systems | Pat White | | Telcordia |
| Therese Mooney | Global Crossing (phone) | Lisa Marie Maxson | | Telcordia |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW (phone) | Steven Koch | | Telcordia |
| Ellen Robinson | Hawaiian Telecom | Paula Jordan | | T-Mobile |
| Roger Skinner | Hawaiian Telecom | Luke Sessions | | T-Mobile |
| Bonnie Johnson | Integra (phone) | Heather Patterson | | TNS (phone) |
| Stephanie Hudson | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Amanda Molina | | Townes (phone) |
| Angie Mackey | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | Tiffany Stacy | | Townes (phone) |
| Karen Hoffman | John Staurulakis, Inc. (phone) | David Lund | | US Cellular (phone) |
| Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber (phone) | Gary Sacra | | Verizon |
| Paul LaGattuta | Neustar | Jason Lee | | Verizon (phone) |
| Jim Rooks | Neustar | Deb Tucker | | Verizon Wireless |
| Stephen Addicks | Neustar | Imanu Hill | | Vonage |
| John Nakamura | Neustar | Tana Henson | | Windstream |
| Marcel Champagne | Neustar (phone) | Dawn Lawrence | | XO Comm. |
| Dave Garner | Neustar | Tiki Gaugler | | XO Comm. (phone) |
| Lavinia Rotaru | Neustar |  | |  |
| Mubeen Saifullah | Neustar Clearinghouse |  | |  |
| Shannon Sevigny | Neustar Pooling (phone) |  | |  |
| Linda Peterman | One Communications |  | |  |
|  |  |  | |  |

**MEETING MINUTES:**

OBF Wireless Ordering Task Force Update (Deb Tucker, Verizon Wireless):

* Since the July 2010 LNPA WG meeting, the Wireless Ordering Task Force (WOTF) completed their bi-weekly conference calls to monitor both the testing status of the WICIS 5.0.0 implementation and potential changes resulting from FCC action with respect to FCC Orders 09-41 and 10-85.
* Most wireless providers have implemented WICIS 5.0.0 and all of those implementations were successful.  Additionally, the majority of the wireless providers have successfully mapped the new LSR requirements for the various wireline carriers participating in next day porting.  No new WOTF issues have been identified thus far as a result of these two changes.
* Issue 3392 - WICIS: Accept Confirmation after Reject when NPDI = C remains open.  This issue addresses situations where wireline carriers are responding with FOCs to requests in a rejected status. Further discussion of this issue is scheduled to take place October 15th during the next Wireless Ordering Task Force meeting.

OBF Local Ordering Task Force (Linda Peterman, One Communications):

* Since the July 2010 LNPA WG meeting, the Local Ordering Task Force (LOTF) met virtually 1 time, met face-to-face in Denver, CO for the week of 8/16/10, and scheduled a face-to-face meeting for the week of 10/24/10 in San Ramon, CA..
* The LOTF primarily worked issues related to directory listings and Local Response standardization. In addition, the LOTF discussed ATIS XML naming convention standardization as well as how the “free” LSOG documents would be identified on the website. ATIS Legal continues to work this issue, which should be resolved shortly. Issue 3395, which addressed a mechanism for obtaining the email address for “sending” notifications to the NNSP, was also worked during this meeting with consensus reached that this would not be an OBF issue, but rather either an individual provider profile issue or a CLEC User Forum/Change Management issue.

Issues in Final Closure:

None

Issues Withdrawn:

3398 LSOG: Process a wireless listing via the LSOG standard Directory listing process

Issues in Initial Closure or Initial Pending:

3375 LSOG: Address duplication of fields between product specific practices and 071 and 072 practices.

3393 LSOG: Update ATIS-0405099-0803 EC VER field.

3395 LSOG: Sending of the LSR Response to the New Network Service Provider (NNSP)

Note: All Initial Closure Issues will move to Final Closure on 9/20/10.

Open Issues:

3373 LSOG: Standardization of RT of “Z” in the 099 practice for REQTYP “C” to be utilized by all providers.

3374 LSOG: Standardization of the Reason Codes and Jeopardy Code Detail in the 099 Practice.

3381 LSOG: Standardization of directory listings in the 102 Practice

1. LSOG: Standardization and consolidation of Directory Listings Inquiry/Response and Listing Reconciliation (from LSOG 6) all into the 111 Practice

3399 LSOG: Modify data characteristics on existing Cable ID and CHAN PAIR fields

* The Agenda for the October face-to-face will primarily continue the work surrounding development of a directory listings guideline document to be utilized in concert with the LSOG and work on Local Responses.

Industry Numbering Committee (INC) Update (Adam Newman, Telcordia & INC Chair):

* Adam Newman, Telcordia and INC Chair, reported out on the INC activities.
* Issue [697](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss697.doc): Voluntary Code Transfers for LRN Purposes When Feasible
  + In response to some state commission/staff concerns, this issue looks to clarify when it can be possible/advantageous to transfer a code to another SP so that a new code does not have to be opened solely for a new LRN. And adds language describing timing concerns including SPID migrations scheduling.
* Issue [691](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss691.doc): Requesting NXXs and NXX-Xs after a Merger/Acquisition
  + In response to some state commission/staff concerns, this issue notes that although a service provider may be permitted to retain non-surviving company codes (referred to as OCNs in the INC Guidelines), INC guidelines do not address applying for new resources using the surviving OCN, if possible.  Appropriate direction in the guidelines will prevent a carrier no longer operating in a certain area from continuing to request and being assigned additional resources.   It is recognized that many mergers and acquisitions are lengthy processes, and although the intent is not to direct the SP on how to reorganize their business, there should be some direction provided that the goal is to eliminate the use of numerous OCNs operating in the same area for the same service.
* Issue [699](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss699.doc): Review and update the acceptable forms of proof of facilities readiness
  + Some of the current forms of proof of facilities readiness are rarely if ever used, or do not provide enough information. Other forms of proof of facilities readiness are not uniform across the industry and therefore make it difficult for the administrator to recognize the appropriate information. The issue suggests that review and edit the acceptable forms of proof of facilities readiness
* Issue [696](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss696.doc): Clarify the Definition of “In Service” in the Guidelines
  + States brought the following concern to INC: States are concerned that the INC Guidelines for code / block activation [In Service] are being interpreted to mean a single telephone number such that having one working telephone number constitutes activation. Codes or blocks which should in fact be either returned to the pool clean are being returned as contaminated and not being effectively utilized. INC should review its definition of In Service to ensure that it is clear that administrative numbers do not constitute a Code/Block being In Service. And review the “Activation” language to ensure that it adequately distinguishes from In Service as related to Part 4 submission.
* Issue [690](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss690.doc): Update Toll Free Resource Exhaust Relief Planning Guidelines
  + There is a new Toll-Free being introduced 855 effective October 2, 2010 at Noon Eastern time for reservations. As a result of this process that did not follow the INC or the NGIIF guidelines for implementation planning of a new toll-free NPA, INC realized the Guidelines were out of date and potentially in need of modification.
* Issue [694](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss694.doc): Update TBPAG Section 8.4.2 (changing a non-pooled NXX to pooled) to direct the Code Holder to load the NXX into the NPAC
  + Updated Section 8.4.2 to direct the Code Holder to load the NXX into the NPAC prior to submitting the request to change the pooled status to the PA.
* Issue [649](http://www.atis.org/inc/Docs/iss/iss649.doc): Update INC Documents for FCC 09-41, Order Reducing Simple Wireline and Intermodal Ports to One Business Day Interval
  + INC determined that no guideline changes were necessitated by the August 2, 2010 implementation of the one-business day porting interval for simple wireline and intermodal ports.
* In addition, as of September 17, 2010, a restructure of the INC Sub-Committees will be effective. INC has consolidated its Sub-Committees from 7 to 3. The new Sub-Committees are:
  + Resource Acquisition and Management Sub-Committee (RAM)
  + Numbering & Addressing Resource Planning Sub-Committee (NARP)
  + Document Maintenance and Management Sub-Committee (DMM)
* The current INC Issues and guidelines have been assigned to one of these committees.

NANC Future of Numbering Working Group Update (Adam Newman, Telcordia & FoN Co-Chair):

* Adam Newman, Telcordia & FoN Co-Chair, reported that the FoN is awaiting NANC approval of their report on toll-free rights (FoN Issue 005).
* The FoN has not met since the last LNPA WG meeting.

Inter-carrier Testing Subcommittee (Teresa Patton, AT&T):

* Teresa Patton, AT&T and ITC Subcommittee Co-Chair, reported that the committee continues to work toward the following schedule:



* The committee is working on developing a schedule/test matrix for the remaining carriers wishing to participate in Inter-carrier Testing prior to going live with 1 day porting.
* Carriers that are interested in assisting with the smaller carrier ONE DAY Porting testing effort should send Bridget Alexander (balexander@jsitel.com)an email informing her of their availability for a call to discuss/establish test schedule.
* Any carriers wanting to share their testing availability or testing status **please communicate** with Teresa Patton ([tp1393@att.com](mailto:tp1393@att.com)) that information so we can include in the Inter-carrier Test Schedule.
* The next committee meeting is September 28th, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. eastern.

PIM Discussion:

* PIM 42 – This PIM, submitted by Syniverse, seeks to review the wireline requirement for certain fields on the LSR.



With the implementation of FCC 09-41, it was agreed to close PIM 42.

* PIM 44 – This PIM, submitted by T-Mobile, Sprint, Verizon Wireless, Nextel, Cingular, and US Cellular, seeks to address varying rules among wireline carriers for developing a Local Service Request (LSR) in order to port a number.



With the implementation of FCC 09-41, it was agreed to close PIM 44.

* PIM 51 – This PIM, submitted by Nextel, seeks the prevention of NXX codes being opened to portability in NPAC by the incorrect provider.



Neustar developed Change Order 414 proposing an automated process to prevent the wrong service provider from opening up a code in NPAC. PIM 51 is now tracking NANC 414 for the automated solution. NANC 414 is included in NPAC Software Release 3.4.

Regarding the attached manual process for the PIM 51 cleanup in NPAC, the NAPM LLC approved the LNPA WG’s recommendation to request a Statement of Work (SOW) from Neustar at their September 2007 meeting. SOW 66 for manual cleanup was submitted by Neustar to the LLC on May 20th. The LLC approved SOW 66 at their July 2008 meeting. NANC 402 is the Change Order for the manual cleanup.



* PIM 54 – This PIM, submitted by Comcast, seeks to reduce the interval for certain wireline-wireline and inter-modal ports to one day.



The PIM 54 proposal applies to simple ports for e-bonded (e.g., XML and EDI) providers.

Action Item 0308-13: Regarding the attached PIM 54, Service Providers are to discuss internally what caveats would have to be in place in an LNPA WG Best Practice in order to support a next day porting interval, if they can support it. This will be discussed at the May 2008 LNPA WG meeting.

Both Action Item 0308-13 and PIM 54 will remain open awaiting the February 2, 2011 implementation of FCC Order 09-41.

* PIM 55 – This PIM, submitted by the Neustar Clearinghouse Vendor, seeks to address issues related to wireline Provider Initiated Activity.



With the implementation of WICIS Release 5.0.0, it was agreed to close PIM 55.

* PIM 64 – This PIM, submitted by VeriSign, proposes a new tunable parameter in NPAC to allow the suppression of LTI-initiated transactions to the mechanized SOAs.



PIM 64 was accepted at the September 2007 LNPA WG meeting. VeriSign submitted NANC Change Order 423 to address the issue identified in PIM 64. PIM 64 is now in a Tracking state.

* PIM 65 – This PIM, submitted by VeriSign, proposes a priority scheme in NPAC for the notifications generated by the disconnection of pooled thousands blocks.



PIM 65 was accepted at the September 2007 LNPA WG meeting. VeriSign submitted NANC Change Order 424 to address the issue identified in PIM 65. PIM 65 is now in a Tracking state. NANC 424 is included in NPAC Software Release 3.4.

* PIM 66 – This PIM, submitted by VeriSign, seeks to address the data that is received when Mass Updates are performed.



PIM 66 was accepted on the October 2007 LNPA WG conference call. VeriSign submitted NANC Change Order 426 to address the issue identified in PIM 66. PIM 66 is now in a Tracking state. NANC 426 is included in NPAC Software Release 3.4.

* PIM 77 – This PIM, submitted by Qwest, seeks to address porting delay problems caused by a lack of communication/interaction between the ONSP and their OLSP (Reseller) during the data validation stage of the port.



PIM 77 was withdrawn by Qwest at the September 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

* PIM 78 – This PIM, submitted by Sprint Nextel and Syniverse, seeks to address porting problems that may result in instances where carriers that support medium timers for one day porting still utilize a prior version of the port request (e.g. WICIS 4.0 or prior version of LSOG).



PIM 78 was accepted to be worked at the May 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

At the August 2, 2010 implementation of FCC 09-41, it was agreed to close PIM 78.

* NEW PIM 79 – This PIM, submitted by Qwest, seeks to make corrections to LNPA WG Best Practice 36.



At the September 2010 LNPA WG meeting, it was agreed to leave the title of Best Practice 36 unchanged. Regarding the attached PIM 79, Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, will update the link in Best Practice 36 and send the revised Best Practice to Luke Sessions, T-Mobile, to be uploaded to the LNPA WG Best Practice website. Upon receipt of revised Best Practice 36 from Gary Sacra, LNPA WG Co-Chair, Luke Sessions, T-Mobile, will have the HTML version of the revised Best Practice uploaded to the LNPA WG Best Practice website.

2011 LNPA WG Meeting/Call Schedule – All:

* Attached is the current schedule for the 2011 LNPA WG meetings and conference calls as developed at the September 2010 meeting:



Change Management – Neustar:

  

* Neustar reviewed the following changes in the attached documents.

Updates to the Change Order summary document:

1. NANC 437, meeting dates reference.
2. R3.3.4, NANC 416/429/430/435/436/438/440/441, removed from document as this release is now in production.
3. NANC 442, changed section name from “Awaiting SOW” to “Current Development Release R3.3.4.1”.

Updates to the R3.4 document:

* 1. NANC 408, requirements clarifications.

1. NANC 420, added pending-like PTO SVs to list of SVs to be cancelled prior to SPID Migration.  Updates apply to RR3-259 and RR3-275.
2. NANC 427, added meeting note that option 3 was the option that was selected by the LNPAWG for this change order.

Updates to the NANC 442 document:

1. Requirements clarifications.
2. New requirements for Service Provider tunables related to BDD Files.

**Action Item 081010-01:** With regard to NANC 408, SPID Migration Automation, and the LNPA WG decision to enable Service Providers to restrict on a SPID basis which of their LTI User Logins can initiate and schedule SPID migrations and have restriction as the default behavior, Neustar will propose ways to minimize the necessary paperwork for those providers that do not wish to restrict their logins. This will be discussed at the September 14-15, 2010 LNPA WG meeting.

* + Providers will be able to list all SPIDs for which a user is authorized to schedule and initiate SPID migrations. They will not have to fill out paperwork for each SPID. They will just fill out paperwork one time and it will be applied to the list of all of their SPIDs. Action Item 081010-01 is closed.
* Neustar reported that during their internal development analysis and design meetings, they came upon an issue for which they need industry feedback on NANC 408, SPID Migration (SM) enhancements.  At the March 2010 LNPA WG meeting, the group discussed and agreed upon requirements to change the definition of an “online” migration to a “code-only” migration (meaning that the SM could contain only NPA-NXXs as input data).  This was changed to assist service provider personnel with operational resource planning, such that a last minute change of SV data didn’t cause the SM to go from online-to-offline. However, even with this update and other existing requirements, an SM could go from online-to-offline at the last minute if SPID A contacted the NPAC Help Desk, requested that they update the “offline-only” flag on the SM, then added an LRN related to one of the codes in the SM.  In this scenario, the migration would have to move from online-to-offline thereby potentially affecting service provider operations because of previously assumed personnel staffing schedules. As a result, Neustar requested to have a discussion during this LNPAWG meeting about how close to the migration date we should allow changes, such as adding an LRN or NPB to the SM, that would cause the online migration to become an offline migration.  Note:  Making changes to the list of NPA-NXXs would not be restricted.
  + A provider stated that personnel may not be scheduled because it was a planned online migration and there is a notice requirement to schedule people to work if it becomes offline.
  + It was agreed that Neustar will code in software the ability to blackout the creation of LRNs after the online migration is scheduled for a tunable period of time in days prior to the migration. If anything else would force it to go offline, the same logic will apply. It was recommended that 14 calendar days serve as a default. Service Providers are to come to the October 12, 2010 LNPA WG conference call with any suggestions other than the recommended default of 14 calendar days.
  + For migrations that go from offline to online, e.g., LRN is deleted, there is no restriction and automation will go forward.
* Release 3.4 interoperability test case list:
  + Neustar then reviewed updates to the attached Release 3.4 interoperability test case list. There were no questions.

****

Discussion of Need for October 2010 Conference Call – All:

* The group agreed to hold a conference call on Tuesday, October 12, 2010, from 12pm to 4pm Eastern. The dial-in bridge number is 888-412-7808, pin 23272#. The agenda will consist of the following:
  + Introductions/Agenda Review – All
  + Review of NANC 437 Position Papers on Operational Feasibility – All
  + NEW PIM on Out-of-LATA LRNs – Gary Sacra, Verizon
  + Review of Release 3.4 Project Plan – Neustar
  + NANC 408 Action Item for Service Providers – All
  + Proposed Best Practice on Project Thresholds – Gary Sacra, Verizon
  + Update on Issue Related to Sale of Numbers – Lonnie Keck, AT&T Mobility
  + 2010 Meeting/Call Schedule – All
  + 2011 Meeting/Call Schedule – All
  + Review of 2011 SPID Migration Blackout Schedule – All
  + Development of October 22nd NANC Report – All
  + New Business – All

2010 Meeting/Call Schedule Review – All:



* Other than the addition of the October 12, 2010 conference call, no further changes were made to the attached 2010 schedule.

Review of July 13-14, 2010 LNPA WG Action Items:



**July 13-14, 2010 LNPA WG Action Items:**

* Item 071310-01: This item remains Open.
* Item 071310-02: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 071310-03: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 071310-04: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 071310-05: This item has been completed and is Closed.

**July 13-14, 2010 APT Action Items:**

* Item 071310-06: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 071310-07: This item has been completed and is Closed.

**LNPA WG Action Items Remaining Open from Previous Meetings:**

* Item 0308-13: This item remains Open.
* Item 0109-12: This item is Closed.
* Item 0309-08: This item is Closed.
* Item 011210-10: This item is Closed.
* Item 041310-03: This item remains Open.
* Item 051110-02: This item remains Open.
* Item 051110-03: This item remains Open.
* Item 060810-08: This item has been completed and is Closed.

**APT Action Items Remaining Open from Previous Meetings:**

* Item 060810-04: This item has been completed and is Closed.

Review of August 10, 2010 LNPA WG Action Items:



**August 10, 2010 APT Action Items:**

No APT Action Items were assigned on the August 10, 2010 LNPA WG conference call.

**August 10, 2010 LNPA WG Action Items:**

* Item 081010-01: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 081010-02: This item remains Open.
* Item 081010-03: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 081010-04: This item has been completed and is Closed.
* Item 081010-05: This item remains Open.
* Item 081010-06: This item has been completed and is Closed.

New/Unfinished Business (All):

* Gary Sacra, Verizon, presented the attached proposed Best Practice on Non-Simple Ports vs. “Projects.”



* Jan – some providers may not have ability to get CSR electronically transmitted. If New SP requests it to be manually sent, and it exceeds 85 pages of data, it becomes negotiated based on size. Qwest can support 1 and 2.
* Bonnie, Integra – Wants time limits for due date and FOC.
* Karen Hoffman – Rural carriers have projects of 2 TNs due to staffing. Suggests that maybe a group of carriers could be exempted based on size.
* My action to make change per Jan’s suggestion and to look at graduated due dates and FOC return per Bonnie’s concern.
* Deb – some providers do not respond so negotiating would not work. Wants an upper bound and not leave open-ended.
* Linda and Tim – Concern that RBOCs will remove from metrics requirements. Tim concern that rural concern will lower the threshold too much.
* Sue suggested setting a different standard in terms of % of access lines to address rural carrier concern.
* Karen Hoffman to send me suggestions to address rural concern.
* Mark asked if VZ considers our limits in our ICAs are suspended in light of the recent order.
* My action to revise BP and send out before Oct call.
* Suggestion to put phrase in regarding “subject to state guidelines.”
* Sue Tiffany – With NANC 397, can we be selective with which data fields can be downloaded to a specific SPID to decrease transaction volume, e.g., Modify Actives, if a SPID does not need the data. Sprint Nextel to bring in change order.

***Next Conference Call …October 12, 2010: 12pm – 4pm Eastern, 888-412-7808 PIN 23272#***

***Next Meeting …November 9-10, 2010: Location…Key West, Florida***

***Hosted by Sprint Nextel***