LNPA WORKING GROUP

August 22, 2017 Conference Call

Final Minutes

**Attendance**

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lisa Marie Maxson | 10X People | Lynette Khirallah | NetNumber |
| David Alread | AT&T | Dave Garner | Neustar  |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T | Anand Rathi | Neustar |
| Kim Isaacs | Allstream | Mubeen Saifullah | Neustar |
| Deborah Anstead | AlticeUSA | Jim Rooks | Neustar |
| Connie Stufflebeam | BKD | Lavinia Rotaru | Neustar |
| Glenn Clepper  | Charter | Gary Sacra | Neustar |
| Kathy Troughton | Charter | Shannon Sevigny | Neustar Pooling |
| Erik Chuss | ChaseTech | Mary Retka | SOMOS |
| Doug Babcock | iconectiv | Hollie Carrender | Sprint |
| Michael Doherty | iconectiv | Suzanne Addington | Sprint |
| Steve Koch | iconectiv | Amanda Molina | Townes |
| John Malyar | iconectiv | Kevin Batista | Transition Oversight Manager |
| Paul Mazouat | iconectiv | Bill Reilly | Transition Oversight Manager |
| George Tsacnaris | iconectiv | Jonathan Turner | Transition Oversight Manager |
| Carolee Hall | Idaho PUC | Paula Campagnoli | T-Mobile |
| Bridget Alexander | JSI  | Deb Tucker | Verizon  |
| Bonnie Johnson | Minnesota Dept of Commerce |  |  |

**LNPA WORKING GROUP CONFERENCE CALL MINUTES:**

In order to align more closely with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), the FCC received a list of nominees for membership and membership approval was completed. Below are the names of vetted and approved voting members of the LNPA WG.

**Local Number Portability Administration (LNPA) WG**

Approved Co-Chairs:   Paula Jordan Campagnoli, T-Mobile, Deb Tucker, Verizon, and Glenn Clepper, Charter

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization**  | **Primary**  | **Alternate**  |
| 800 Response | David Greenhaus | N/A |
| AT&T | Teresa Patton | N/A |
| ATL | Brian Lynott | N/A |
| Bandwidth.com | Lisa Jill Freeman | Anna-Valeria Kafka |
| CenturyLink | Joy McConnell-Couch | Phil Linse |
| Charter | Glenn Clepper | Allyson Blevins |
| Comcast | Randee Ryan | N/A |
| Cox | Jennifer Hutton | Beth O’Donnell |
| Integra Holdings/Zayo | Kim Isaacs | Laurie Roberson |
| JSI | Bridget Alexander | N/A |
| LNP Alliance | Dave Malfara | James Falvey |
| Minnesota DOC | Bonnie Johnson | N/A |
| SIP Forum | Richard Shockey | N/A |
| Sprint | Suzanne Addington | Rosemary Leist |
| T-Mobile | Paula Campagnoli | Luke Sessions |
| Townes Telecommunications Service Corp.   | Amanda Molina  | N/A |
| Verizon | Deborah Tucker | Jason Lee |
| Vonage | Imanu Hill | N/A |
| Windstream | Scott Terry | N/A |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**LNPA Transition**

The open Action Items from 08/09/2017 were discussed. Updated Action Item list provided here.

 

08092017-01:  SOA and LSMS Vendors that use the application error code file in their systems are to state which columns in the error code file they are dependent upon. Change Order 503 was accepted because a 4 column error code file is needed instead of the 2 column file currently produced by iconectiv.

Responses were provided and it was determined that at least one vendor is sensitive to all columns and that Change Order 503 is needed. This Action Item was closed.



08092017-02: SOA and LSMS vendors are to determine if they have an impact from this Change Order and to determine by the next meeting if CO 498 needs to be updated. The mechanized users of these vendors also need to respond. Long term implementation planning is underway. No vendors are impacted by the changes presented in CO 498. This Action Item was closed.

**07112017-11 -** Neustar action item to move NANC 495 to the Implemented List. iconectiv agreed that this action item should be closed and new one created for iconectiv to update the documentation for NANC 495.

**07112017-14-** Neustar action item to review Chapter 10 Test Case 6.5.3 regarding the failed list being on the pooled SV in Step 12 (same concern as Test Case 6.5.6). The work was done and feedback was provided (See 491 updates). Closed

**07112017-15 -** Neustar action item to review Chapter 10 Test Case 6.5.6 regarding the failed list being on the pooled SV in Step 12 (same concern as Test Case 6.5.3). The work was done and feedback was provided (See 491 updates). Closed

**Change Order and PIM Approvals**

4 new PIMs were accepted to be worked and approved.

PIM 99 addresses SV Query Response and Relative Distinguished Name.



PIM 100 addresses SP recovery request and Relative Distinguished Name.



PIM 101 addresses LSMS query response attributes.

 

PIM 102 addresses recovery of modified SVs.



A new Change Order was presented related to PIM 102 and will be updated as Change Order 504. 

Change Management

NANC Change Order 491 will be discussed in more detail during the September LNPA WG meeting.

NANC Change Order 498 was approved to forward to the NAPM LLC to request an SOW.

NEW ACTION ITEM 08222017-01 – iconectiv will complete FRS documentation updates for NANC 495.

NEW ACTION ITEM 08222017-02 - Tri-chairs will forward Change Order 498 to the NAPM LLC to request an SOW from iconectiv. (Completed 8/23/2017)

**Service Provider/Local System Vendor Action Items Revised:**

**07112017-02 -** REVISION 3:  Service Providers are to determine if they currently get a “Disconnect Pending” message when the Effective Release Date is in the past. If they are impacted, there is a potential that they will not get the “Disconnect Pending” status as they do today for a period of time after Go-Live.  Impacted providers are to determine if a work around can be devised and implemented in their operations before Go-Live (April 7, 2018) to mitigate the impact of not getting a Disconnect Pending message when the Effective Release Date is in the past.  For example, a periodic report could be used on the Subscription Versions that transition to OLD and contain an Effective Release Date. Under the assumption that iconectiv will provide a Disconnect Pending message when the Effective release Date is in the past through the Change management process, how long can the work around be sustained after April 7?

**07112017-03 -** REVISION 3:  Service Providers that use the “NOT” operand in scoped and filtered queries are requested to identify how the NOT operand is used operationally. Service Providers are asked to identify which objects and attributes they use with the NOT operand (for example, the NOT operand is used on the Status attribute on an SV Object). The scope of the use of the operand is needed. Impacted Service Providers are also asked to determine if a work around can be devised and implemented in their operations before Go-Live (April 7, 2018) to mitigate the impact of not having the NOT operand.  Under the assumption that iconectiv will provide a NOT filter through the Change management process, how long can the work around be sustained after April 7? Note, this does not impact XML interface users, only CMIP interface users.

**New Business/Walk-Ons**

Bonnie Johnson from the MN Dept. of Commerce stated that there appears to be a lot of differences in opinion of the functionality of the system and she asked for an explanation. iconectiv and Neustar both responded. iconectiv mentioned that over the last few years, there have been corrections or requirements have been updated in the specifications and iconectiv has had to absorb the changes. iconectiv is trying not to impact providers, but there are undocumented capabilities that weren’t discovered until the testing process took place. Neustar disagreed with the statement about undocumented features and inferred that the Functional Requirements Specification (FRS) are business requirements and not technical requirements.

***Next Meeting … September 12-13, 2017: Location Denver, CO…Hosted by CenturyLink***