**LNP Informal Meeting**

*Date 9/8/2020 – Conference call 11:00 – 1:00 PM Eastern*

**Meeting Attendance**

| **Name** | **Company** | **Name** | **Company** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| John Nakamura | 10xpeople (phone) | John Malyar | iconectiv (phone) |
| Lisa Marie Maxson | 10xpeople (phone) | Cathy McMahon | iconectiv (phone) |
| Kim Isaacs | Allstream (phone) | Michael Doherty | iconectiv (phone) |
| Suzy Green | AT&T (phone) | Pat White | iconectiv (phone) |
| Teresa Patton | AT&T (phone) | Steve Koch | iconectiv (phone) |
| Alex Eastwood | ATL / porting.com (phone) | Bridget Alexander-White | JSI (phone) |
| Sheila Seidl | Cellcom (phone) | Anand Rathi | Neustar (phone) |
| Joy McConnell-Couch | CenturyLink (phone) | Steve Brock | Oracle (phone) |
| Kathy Troughton | Charter (phone) | Vincent Hamrick | Oracle (phone) |
| Arnold Monell | Cincinnati Bell (phone) | Tara Farquhar | SOMOS (phone) |
| Randee Ryan | Comcast (phone) | Mary Retka | SOMOS (phone) |
| Diane Alexenberg | Dish (phone) | Jeanne Kulesa | Synchronoss (phone) |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW (phone) | Niraj Prakash | T-Mobile (phone) |
| Deborah Lasher | iconectiv (phone) | Bob Bruce | Syniverse (phone) |
| Doug Babcock | iconectiv (phone) | Bale Pathman | Verizon Wireless (phone) |
| George Tsacnaris | iconectiv (phone) | Deborah Tucker | Verizon Wireless (phone) |

**Introductions and Agenda Review – All**

* Chairperson reviewed the Antitrust notice: Attendees are reminded that participation in industry fora involves the potential for antitrust concerns or risks. To avoid such concerns/risks, participants should not discuss or exchange information on price, costs, business plans, or any other confidential or commercially sensitive topics.

**August 11, 2020 Draft Meeting Notes – Review/Approve**

* There were no objections to August 11, 2020 LNP Meeting Minutes. Minutes were approved.

**Open Action Item review**

* 11122019-02 - Originators to review the PIM Originator document prior to the next FTF meeting. PIM Originators to continue to review PIMs to provide “Final Resolution” statement approvals or rejections as iconectiv CMA continues to make updates to PIMs.
* AI 06022020-01 - Industry to review the PowerPoint on group structure and send feedback/suggestions to the chairperson
  + Remains open until October Meeting

**Continued Release Planning –** JPM reviewed status

* Release 5.0 Discussion (2020)
  + Release Timeline and Content
  + Testing Strategy
    - All vendors have successfully completed testing
    - On schedule for 10-25-20 release cutover date
    - 
  + Flash Cut weekend highlights:
    - iconectiv PE shared a presentation with highlights of the Flash cut weekend
    - 
  + Open Discussion (Questions/Issues/Comments)

**PIM 133 – NPAC Data Population for Fraud Identification**

* 10X People reviewed this PIM and its purpose
* Intent is to get data (Line Type and ALTSPID) trued up. Maybe through a MUMP process
* ALTSPID and LASTALTSPID are optional data elements. Not all systems are set up to populate that information.
* Updating Alt-SPID and SV Type values would require
  + Identification/review of values to be updated
  + Updating of values with the large amount of data in the NPAC
  + Service Provider systems updates to support ALT-SPID and updating of SV Type
  + SV Type values specification - There can be different ways to make a call with a number (e.g. wifi calling)
* Suggestion was made to have a presentation to the group to better understand how this data is being utilized
  + 10X People to investigate who could provide the presentation at the October LNP meeting
* Vince Hamrick – Oracle – What is more important is the line type itself rather than the company type.
* VZ – What is the impact if only part of the Industry updates these fields.
* 10X People to draft some Use Cases
* Questions raised:
  + How many systems/Service Providers support Alt-SPID or SV Type today?
  + Are Alt-SPID values useful to the industry at large or only to the Service Providers that populate them?
  + Is SV Type useful to the industry at large or specific service providers?
  + Is SV Type defaulting by NPAC or a Service Bureau something that should be done?
  + VZ - What would it take to change that default
  + Do we want the NPAC to go ahead and default the line type
  + What are the use cases for SV Type and Alt-SPID for PortDataValidate users (e.g Fraud, Wireless Do Not Call, other)?
  + What are the impacts if the NPAC data is not accurate?
  + Should the fields remain optional? (This would be a regulatory matter.)
  + Can bad actors work around the use of this data by companies leveraging it for fraud purposes?
  + Should we consider expanding the information in the NPAC to prevent security/fraud issues such as device type?

**BP 11 – NPAC Use Application Process v2**

* Iconectiv discussed the possibility of deleting this PIM
* SPs no longer need certification. The vendors are certified.
  + Is this even needed? Should it be obsoleted or just updated?
  + Suggestion was made to have a hybrid solution – Obsolete The BP with a note to refer them to the proper requirement
  + Diane Alexenberg – is there something in New Entrant instructions that needs to be updated if the SP plans to build their own system (not utilize an outside vendor).
  + New Action Item 09082020-01 – iconectiv to verify New Entrant checklist and procedures include the correct information for SP certification
  + No objections to utilizing a hybrid approach
    - Obsoleting the current BP with a note for individuals on where to go to obtain the updated information.

**Fraudulent Port Sub Team Update**

* Lisa Marie reviewed the PROs/CONs format of each solution in the Fraudulent Port White Paper.
* Sub-Team to begin working on probabilities of each solution
* Chairperson urged everyone to review the document in detail to promote more detailed and fruitful conversation at the next meeting.

**2020 Meeting Schedule**

* October 6, 2020 – 11-5 Eastern
  + No APT required
* November 3, 2020 11-1 Eastern
* December 8, 2020 11-5 Eastern

**Unfinished/New Business**

* Group Name – received 15 responses. Below is the list of potential names for the group:
  + NPIF – Number Portability Industry Forum
  + NPOF – Number Portability Open Forum
  + NPTIF – Number Portability Technical Issues Forum
  + NPOTF - Number Portability Open Technical Forum
  + NPTF – Number Portability Technical Forum
  + NPCIG - Number Portability Common Interest Group
  + NPF – Number Portability Forum
* PIM 132 – iconectiv reviewed the PIM
  + Several vendors thought it was beneficial to have the porting agent information
  + SPID Name data attribute field is 40 characters in length
  + iconectiv to update the PIM based on feedback regarding Suggested Solution #2

**12:48 PM Meeting Adjourned**